

## 4.11 Noise and Vibration

This section describes the environmental and regulatory settings and discusses potential impacts associated with construction and operation of the proposed 115-kilovolt (kV) Valley-Ivyglen Subtransmission Project (proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project) and the proposed Alberhill System Project (proposed Alberhill Project) with respect to noise and vibration.

During scoping of the Valley-Ivyglen and Alberhill projects, comments were received about the potential for increased noise levels due to construction and operation of new and modified transmission and subtransmission lines, particularly those that would be routed through residential areas. For the Alberhill Project, commenters also noted the potential increase of ambient noise levels as a result of increased truck traffic and operation of the proposed Alberhill Substation. In addition, during past scoping processes conducted in 2012 and 2011 for the Alberhill Project, a number of commenters also noted that increased noise levels from the Alberhill Project would affect livestock and wildlife. These comments are addressed in this section with the exception of impacts on wildlife. The effects of noise and vibration on wildlife are discussed in Section 4.4, “Biological Resources.”

### 4.11.1 Environmental Setting

The proposed projects would be built along rural and residential areas in unincorporated western Riverside County and the cities of Lake Elsinore, Perris, Wildomar, Menifee, and Orange in Southern California. The Alberhill Project would also involve temporary worker and/or truck transportation in additional jurisdictions, such as fill materials transportation from the City of Corona (Soil Import Option 2), installation of a microwave telecommunications dish antenna on U.S. Forest Service land (Santiago Peak Communications site), and telecommunication system upgrades at various substations.

Climate, topographical features, and man-made structures affect noise characteristics (amplitude and attenuation) within the project area. Meteorological factors include temperature changes, Santa Ana winds, and the amount and duration of rain. Topographical features include the Santa Ana Mountains, Elsinore Mountains, the surrounding local valleys, and the rolling hills throughout much of the area. Man-made features within the projects area include buildings and structures, agricultural fields, and roadways (City of Lake Elsinore 2011).

The projects’ noise setting is influenced by multiple sources, including mobile, stationary, and construction-related sources. In particular, roadway traffic has been identified as the most common noise source in the project area (County of Riverside 2014a, City of Menifee 2013, and City of Lake Elsinore 2011). Major roadways that contribute to noise in the projects area include Interstate 15, Interstate 215 (I-215), State Route 74, and several other arterial roadways located immediately adjacent to or in the proximity of proposed project components. Additional sources of noise along the proposed project area include airports, industrial facilities, schools, and commercial operations (County of Riverside 2014a).

#### 4.11.1.1 Noise Fundamentals

Sound is a pressure wave transmitted through the air and is measured by decibels (dB), frequency of pitch, and duration. Because the human ear can detect a large range of intensities, the dB scale is based on multiples of 10, according to the logarithmic scale. Each interval of 10 dB indicates a sound energy level 10 times greater than the previous level and is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud. To account for the fact that human hearing does not process all frequencies equally, an A-weighted decibel (dBA) scale was developed. The dBA scale deviates from the “linear” dB weighting curve appropriately for specific frequency values. Therefore, the “A-weighted” noise scale is used for

1 measurements and standards involving the human perception of noise. It is widely accepted that the  
2 average human ear can perceive changes of 3 dBA, and a change of 5 dBA is readily perceptible. Noise is  
3 defined as objectionable or unwanted sound. Table 4.11-1 shows the relationship of various noise levels  
4 to commonly experienced noise events.  
5

Table 4.11-1 Typical Noise Levels

Common Outdoor Activities	Noise Level (dBA)	Common Indoor Activities
	110	Rock band
Jet fly-over at 1,000 feet (300 meters)	100	
Gas lawn mower at 3 feet (1 meter)	90	
Diesel truck at 50 feet, at 50 mph (80 km/h)	80	Food blender at 3 feet
Noisy urban area, daytime gas lawn mower at 100 feet	70	Vacuum cleaner at 10 feet
Commercial area heavy traffic at 300 feet	60	Normal speech at 3 feet
Quiet urban daytime	50	Large business office dishwasher in next room
Quiet urban nighttime	40	Theater, large conference room (background)
Quiet suburban nighttime	30	Library
Quiet rural nighttime	20	Bedroom at night, concert hall (background)
	10	Broadcast/recording studio
Lowest threshold of human hearing	0	Lowest threshold of human hearing

Source: Caltrans 2009.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

km/h = kilometers per hour

mph = miles per hour

6  
7 Noise level descriptors are commonly used to characterize the average ambient noise environment in a  
8 given area. The Sound Equivalent Level, or  $L_{eq}$ , is generally used to characterize the average sound  
9 energy that occurs during a relatively short period of time, such as an hour. Two other descriptors, the  
10 Day-Night Level ( $L_{dn}$ ) and Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), are used for an entire 24-hour  
11 period. The value of the  $L_{dn}$  and CNEL are generally within 1 dB of each other and therefore are often  
12 used interchangeably in noise analysis. Both the  $L_{dn}$  and CNEL noise level descriptors are used to place a  
13 stronger emphasis on noise that occurs during nighttime hours (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) by applying a 10-  
14 dB “penalty” to those hours, but the CNEL also applies a 5-dB “penalty” to the evening hours of 7:00  
15 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.  
16

17 Noise levels in communities usually relate to the intensity of nearby human activity. Noise levels are  
18 generally considered to be low at levels below 45 dBA, moderate in the 45 to 60 dBA range, and high  
19 above 60 dBA. In wilderness areas, the  $L_{dn}$  noise levels can be below 35 dBA. In small towns or wooded  
20 and lightly used residential areas, the  $L_{dn}$  is more likely to be around 50 to 60 dBA (EPA 1978).  
21

22 Sound from a small localized source (approximating a “point” source) radiates uniformly outward as it  
23 travels away from the source in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates or drops off at a rate of 6  
24 dBA when the distance is doubled. Natural terrain features such as hills and dense woods, as well as  
25 fabricated features such as buildings and walls, can alter noise levels. Wind, temperature, and other  
26 atmospheric effects could also alter the path of sound.  
27

#### 28 4.11.1.2 Vibration Fundamentals

29  
30 Another community annoyance related to noise is vibration. As with noise, vibration can be described by  
31 both its amplitude and frequency. Vibration can be felt outdoors, but the perceived intensity of vibration  
32 impacts is much greater indoors, due to the shaking of structures. Factors that influence levels of ground-

borne vibration and noise are the vibration source; soil conditions (type, rock layers, soil layering, and depth of water table); and factors related to the vibration receiver (foundation type, building construction, and acoustical absorption). Human response to vibration is difficult to quantify because vibration can be perceived at levels below those required to produce any damage to structures. Table 4.11-2 shows common human and structural response to vibration levels.

Vibration is an oscillatory motion that can be described in terms of displacement, velocity, or acceleration. Vibratory motion is commonly described by identifying peak particle velocity (PPV), which is generally accepted as the most appropriate descriptor for evaluating building damage. However, human response to vibration is usually assessed using amplitude indicators (root-mean square) or vibration velocity levels measured in inches per second or in decibels (VdB). The background velocity level in residential areas is usually 50 VdB, and the human threshold of perception is 65 VdB. Special care also should be taken when vibration occurs close to historically important structures and very sensitive manufacturing or research equipment. Historical structures usually require lower vibration limits. High-resolution electronic equipment is also typically sensitive to vibration (FTA 2006).

Table 4.11-2 Human and Structural Response to Typical Levels of Vibration

Human/Structural Response	Vibration Velocity Level (VdB) <sup>a</sup>	Typical Sources
Threshold, minor cosmetic damage to fragile buildings	100	Blasting from construction projects
Difficulty with tasks (e.g., reading a screen)	90	Bulldozers and other heavy tracked construction equipment
Residential annoyance, transient events	80	Commuter rail, upper range
Residential annoyance, continuous events	70	Rapid transit, typical
Human threshold of perception and limit for vibration sensitive equipment	65	Bus or truck, typical
No human response	50	Typical background vibration

Source: FTA 2006.

Note:


<sup>a</sup> Root-mean square vibration velocity level in VdB is equivalent to 10<sup>-6</sup> inches per second.

Key:

VdB = decibels of vibration velocity

### 4.11.1.3 Existing Noise Levels

The proposed projects include 115-kV subtransmission line and Alberhill substation segments ~~telecommunication segments~~ that would for the most part be located along existing roadways. Noise contours published by the City of Lake Elsinore, City of Menifee, and City of Wildomar indicate that the existing day-night average noise levels along major roadways in the proposed project area are between 60 and 70 decibels dBA, as shown in Figure 4.11-1. In addition, noise measurements reported by the County of Riverside and the applicant within the projects area indicate that roadways adjacent to the proposed projects components emit noise range between 60 to 67 dBA, as indicated in Table 4.11-3, with the average noise level of 65 dBA during daytime hours.



Source: BLM Geocommunicator 2009, RCHCA 2007, SCE 2011, 2013

- |        |            |        |   |                           |         |
|--------|------------|--------|---|---------------------------|---------|
| 1 VIG1 | 1 ASP1     | 5 ASP5 | Existing Substations                    | — Segment begin / end     | 55 CNEL |
| 2 VIG2 | 1.5 ASP1.5 | 6 ASP6 | Proposed Alberhill Substation           | - - - Underground Segment | 60 CNEL |
| 3 VIG3 | 2 ASP2     | 7 ASP7 | Proposed 500-kV transmission lines      |                           | 65 CNEL |
| 4 VIG4 | 3 ASP3     | 8 ASP8 | 500-kV Serrano Valley Transmission Line |                           | 70 CNEL |
| 5 VIG5 | 4 ASP4     |        |   |                           |         |
| 6 VIG6 |            |        |   |                           |         |
| 7 VIG7 |            |        |   |                           |         |
| 8 VIG8 |            |        |   |                           |         |

Figure 4.11-1

Noise Contours within the City of Lake Elsinore, the City of Menifee, and the City of Wildomar

Alberhill and Valley-Ivyglen Projects  
 Riverside County, California

1

Table 4.11-3 Background Noise Levels within the Proposed Projects Area

Location <sup>a</sup>	Noise level (dBA, L <sub>eq</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>		Closest Project Component	
	Daytime	Nighttime	Proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project	Proposed Alberhill System Project
North of Temescal Canyon Road, near Corona Lake	66	56 <sup>c</sup>	115-kV Segments VIG6 and VIG7	N/A
Intersection of Collier Road and Central Avenue	65	55 <sup>c</sup>	115-kV Segment VIG4 and Storage Area VIG6	115-kV Segment ASP3
Temescal Canyon Road, adjacent to proposed Alberhill Substation property line	67	55	115-kV Segments VIG5	Proposed Alberhill Substation and 115-kV Segment ASP1
Closest residence to the proposed Alberhill Substation	60	50 <sup>c</sup>	115-kV Segments VIG5	Proposed Alberhill Substation and 500-kV Line SA
South of Bundy Canyon Road	62	52 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	115-kV Segment ASP5
East of Murrieta Road, near intersection of Bundy Canyon and Scott Road	65	55 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	115-kV Segment ASP6
South of McCall Boulevard, near intersection with Murrieta Road	65	55 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	115-kV Segments ASP7 and ASP8

Sources: County of Riverside 2014b, SCE 2011a.

Notes:

- <sup>a</sup> Locations reported in this table include results from a background noise survey conducted by the applicant at the substation property line (SCE 2011) and measurements reported in the Riverside County General Plan, Appendix I (County of Riverside 2014b), from a noise study conducted in 1999. Population in Riverside County and the City of Lake Elsinore has increased since 1999, increasing the potential that current noise levels are higher.
- <sup>b</sup> Maximum daytime and minimum nighttime noise levels reported. Measurements reported for the location adjacent to the substation site were taken on an hourly basis.
- <sup>c</sup> Estimated values assuming a 10 dBA reduction during nighttime hours.

Key:

- dBA = A-weighted decibel
- kV = kilovolt
- L<sub>eq</sub> = Sound Equivalent Level
- N/A = Not applicable. The measurement location is located more than 500 feet from any of the proposed projects components.

2

3

#### 4.11.1.4 Sensitive Receptors

4

5

Noise sensitive receptors are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound or vibration could adversely affect the designated land uses. Typically, sensitive receptors on noise-sensitive lands include residences, hospitals, places of worship, libraries and schools, nature and wildlife preserves, and parks. Several land uses are especially sensitive to vibration, including concert halls, hospitals, libraries, vibration-sensitive research facilities, residential areas, schools, and offices. Tables 4.11-4 and 4.11-5 present the closest sensitive receptors to each of the proposed projects components.

10

11

12

1

Table 4.11-4 Sensitive Receptors Located Near the Proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project Components

Project Component	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Jurisdiction(s)
<b>Subtransmission Line Segments (Overhead and Underground)</b>			
<b>Segment VIG1:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residences on McLaughlin Road (Dawson Road)	152	Menifee
	Residential unit on Caldera Street (multiple units)	49	Perris
	Residence on East SR-74, south Ethanac Road	267	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Segment VIG1 Blasting:</b> Removal of 2,240 cubic yards	Residences near access road between Structures 144 and 147	47	Perris
<b>Segment VIG2:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on SR-74	37	Unincorporated Riverside County
	Residences on Central Avenue with Allan Street	180	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG2 Blasting:</b> Removal of 400 cubic yards	Residences near Structures 212–214, 219, 221, 224, 230, and 251–253 (10 structures)	47	Perris
<b>Segment VIG3:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on Conard Avenue	20	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG4:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on Baker Street	77	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG5:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on Lake Street, near Temescal Canyon Road	310	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG5 Blasting:</b> Removal of 107 cubic yards	Residences near Structures 32 to 35 (4 structures)	848	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG6:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on Horse Thief Canyon Road	405	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Segment VIG6 Blasting:</b> Removal of 107 cubic yards	Residences near Structures 527–530 (4 structures)	1,019	Corona
<b>Segment VIG7:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence on De Palma Road	31	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Segment VIG8:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line	Residence north of Temescal Canyon Road (on Wrangler Way)	158	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Segment VIG8 Blasting:</b> Removal of materials at each underground vault location	Residences near each underground vault location	172	
<b>Telecommunications (Underground Segments)</b>			
<b>Segment VIG1:</b> New underground conduits near Valley Substation	Residence on McLaughlin Road with Dawson Road	2,250	Menifee
<b>Segment VIG2:</b> New underground conduit along SR-74 from Ethanac Road to Festus Circle	Residence east SR-74, on Festus Circle	344	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Segment VIG3:</b> New Underground conduit along Third Street and across Collier Avenue	Riverside Recovery Center (Health facility on Collier Avenue with Third Street)	608	Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment VIG4:</b> New Underground conduit along Collier Avenue, Third Street, Pasadena Avenue, and Baker Street	Residence on Baker Street	86	Lake Elsinore

Table 4.11-4 Sensitive Receptors Located Near the Proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project Components

Project Component	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Jurisdiction(s)
Segment VIG-5: New underground conduit across Nichols Road to Old Lake Street	Boys & Girls Club of America (3711 Nichols Road)	423	Lake Elsinore
Segment VIG 7: New underground conduit along De Palma Road, east of the intersection of Campbell Ranch Road and Santiago Canyon Road	Residence on Glen Eden Road	990	Unincorporated Riverside County
Segment VIG8: New underground conduits from Campbell Ranch Road to Ivyglen Substation	Residence north of Temescal Canyon Road (Wrangler Way)	160	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>Staging Areas</b>			
Staging Area VIG2 <sup>a</sup>	Residence east of Menifee Road, multiple units (N. Bay Lane)	996	Menifee
Staging Area VIG3	Residence on Ethanac Road	86	Unincorporated Riverside County
Staging Area VIG4	Residence on Festus Circle	282	Unincorporated Riverside County
Staging Area VIG5	Residence across SR-74, on Carla Court	179	Lake Elsinore
Staging Area VIG6	Riverside Recovery Resources	585	Lake Elsinore
Staging Area VIG8	Elsinore Valley Cemetery	1,026	Lake Elsinore
Staging Area VIG9	Residence on Horse Thief Canyon Road	130	Unincorporated Riverside County
Staging Area VIG10	Residence on Rouse Road	1,410	Unincorporated Riverside County
Staging Area VIG11	Residence on El Toro Cut Off Road	986	Lake Elsinore
Staging Area VIG12	Residential Building Ardenwood Way	122	Lake Elsinore
Staging Area VIG13	Educations Buildings on Education Way	71	Lake Elsinore

Source: E & E 2015a, SCE 2014.

1  
2

1

Table 4.11-5 Sensitive Receptors Located Near the Proposed Alberhill Project Components

Project Component	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Jurisdiction(s)
<b>Alberhill Substation</b>			
New 1,120 MVA 500/115-kV substation expandable to 1,680 MVA  34 to 40 acres within a 124-acre property <sup>(a)</sup> . Construction includes landscaping and access roads.	Closest residence to substation property line	1,126	Unincorporated Riverside County
	• Distance to proposed transformer banks'	2,874	
	Closest residence to the 5.2-Acre Source Area (Soil Import Option 1)	1,200	City of Corona
Closest residences to soil haul route (Soil Import Option 2)	3,125		
<b>500-kV Transmission Lines<sup>(b)</sup></b>			
Line SA: New 500-kV transmission line to connect the proposed Alberhill Substation to existing Serrano-Valley 500-kV Transmission Line	Closest residence to ROW	338	Unincorporated Riverside County
	Closest residence to Tower SA-1 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,197	
	Closest residence to Tower SA-2 <sup>(c)</sup>	900	
	Closest residence to Tower SA-3 <sup>(c)</sup>	691	
	Closest residence to Tower SA-4 <sup>(c)</sup>	2,096	
Line VA: New 500-kV transmission line to connect the proposed Alberhill Substation to existing Serrano-Valley 500-kV Transmission Line (overhead)	Closest residence to ROW	23	Unincorporated Riverside County
	Closest residence to Tower VA-1 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,110	
	Closest residence to Tower VA-2 <sup>(c)</sup>	736	
	Closest residence to Tower VA-3 <sup>(c)</sup>	668	
	Closest residence to Tower VA-4 <sup>(c)</sup>	2,132	
New overhead ground wires installed on 500-kB Lines AS and VA	Same as Lines VA and SA receptors	Same as Line VA and SA	Unincorporated Riverside County
VA2 Access Road	Closest residence	322	Unincorporated
VA3 Access Road	Closest residences	266	Riverside County
<b>Potential Helicopter Platform Locations (500-kV transmission Line Helicopter Construction Method)</b>			
Helipad 1	Residence northeast of Black Powder Road (northeast of proposed Alberhill Substation site)	704	Unincorporated Riverside County
Helipad 2	Same as Helipad 1	567	Unincorporated Riverside County
Helipad 3	Same as Helipad 1	1,978	Unincorporated Riverside County
Helipad 4	Same as Helipad 1	2,020	Unincorporated Riverside County
Helipad 5	Same as Helipad 1	3,410	Unincorporated Riverside County
<b>115-kV Subtransmission Lines<sup>(b)</sup></b>			
Segment ASP1: New double-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line at proposed substation site	Residence on Hostettler Road (across Corona Freeway)	801	Unincorporated Riverside County
	Closest residence to Alberhill Substation	1,125	
Segment ASP1.5: New double-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line and removal of existing single-circuit section of Valley-Elsinore-Fogarty-Ivyglen 115-kV line	Residence on Hostettler Road (across Corona Freeway)	278	Unincorporated Riverside County
Segment ASP2: Double-circuit Valley-Ivyglen 115-kV line segment	Residences on Baker Street	37	City of Lake Elsinore

Table 4.11-5 Sensitive Receptors Located Near the Proposed Alberhill Project Components

Project Component	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Jurisdiction(s)
<b>Segment ASP3:</b> New double-circuit 115-kV line segment and removal of existing single-circuit section of Valley-Elsinore-Fogarty-Ivyglen 115-kV line	Residences on Collier Avenue and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	181	City of Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment ASP4:</b> New double-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line and removal of existing single-circuit sections of Ivyglen-Newcomb-Skylark and Elsinore-Skylark 115-kV lines	Residences on E Hill Street, E Pottery Street, Casino Drive, Malaga Road, and Mission Trail	20	City of Lake Elsinore
<b>Segment ASP5:</b> New double-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line segment and removal of existing single-circuit section of Valley-Newcomb-Skylark 115-kV line	Residences on Waite Street, Lemon Street, Lost Road, Beverly Street, and Bundy Canyon Road	35	City of Wildomar
<b>Segment ASP6:</b> New single-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line segment along existing distribution line route	Residences on Murrieta Road	55	City of Menifee
<b>Segment ASP7:</b> New double-circuit 115-kV subtransmission line segment and removal of existing single-circuit section of Valley-Newcomb-Skylark 115-kV line	Residences on Murrieta Road (near Newcomb Substation)	398	City of Menifee
<b>Segment ASP8:</b> Connect Valley-Ivyglen and Valley-Newcomb single-circuit 115-kV lines	Residences Murrieta Road (intersection with McLaughin Road)	20	City of Menifee
<b>Telecommunications</b>			
New microwave tower at Alberhill Substation	Closest residence to substation property line	1,126	Unincorporated Riverside County
New microwave dishes at Serrano Substation <u>(one)</u> and Santiago Peak Communication Site <u>(two)</u>	Serrano Substation: Residences	753	City of Orange
	Santiago Peak Communication Site	>100 <sup>(d)</sup>	Cleveland National Forest, Trabuco Ranger District
New overhead ground wires installed on 500-kV Lines SA and VA	Same as Lines VA and SA receptors	Same as Line VA and SA	Unincorporated Riverside County
New fiber optic telecommunication line installed on two 115-kV line taps into the proposed Alberhill Substation	Same as Alberhill Substation receptors	Same as Alberhill Substation	Unincorporated Riverside County
New fiber optic telecommunication line installed on 115-kV Segments ASP1, ASP 1.5, ASP5, ASP6, and ASP7	Residences	20	Unincorporated Riverside County
New telecommunications equipment installed inside existing substations (e.g., microwave radios)	n/a	n/a	

Table 4.11-5 Sensitive Receptors Located Near the Proposed Alberhill Project Components

Project Component	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Jurisdiction(s)
<b>Staging Areas</b>			
Staging Area ASP1	Closest residence to substation property line	1356	Unincorporated Riverside County
Staging Area ASP2	None identified (within 0.25-mile radius)	None	
Staging Area ASP3	Closest residence	237	
Staging Area ASP4	Closest residence	338	City of Lake Elsinore
Staging Area ASP5	Mission Valley Medical School	370	City of Wildomar
Staging Area ASP6	Closest residence	365	City of Wildomar
Staging Area ASP7	Closest residence	655	City of Menifee

Source: E & E 2015a, SCE 2011; 2014.

Notes:

- <sup>a</sup> If the applicant elects to excavate a 5.2 acres of land adjacent to the northeast corner of the proposed substation site to obtain fill required for grading, then the land required for construction of the proposed substation would increase from 34 to 40 acres. See Section 2.4.6.2, "Fill, Grading, and Surface Materials."
- <sup>b</sup> Maximum disturbance widths for construction of the proposed transmission or subtransmission lines, respectively.
- <sup>c</sup> Distances to closest sensitive receptors in the proximity of the 500-kV lines SA and VA towers are included in this table due to the potential noise impacts associated with the construction and operation of each tower structure.
- <sup>d</sup> Santiago Peak is a designated communications site located in the Elsinore Place, in the Trabuco Ranger District of the Cleveland National Forest. Although the majority of developed recreation sites (campgrounds and picnic areas) and special-use authorizations on the Trabuco Ranger District are located within the Elsinore Place, the United States Forest Service reports that only a few hiking trails are available for recreational users in the Elsinore Place. For the purposes of this environmental impact report, it has been assumed a minimum safety distance of 100 feet from recreational users to the installation and operation of satellite dish antennas in the Santiago Peak Communications site.

Key:

- kV = kilovolt
- MVA = megavolt-ampere

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**Livestock**

Although not defined as a sensitive receptor under federal, state, or local guidance, excessive noise would also affect livestock. The closest location with presence of livestock in the proposed projects area is the De Jong’s Cash & Carry Dairy, which is located in the City of Wildomar, adjacent to the Skylark Field Airport and approximately 1,300 feet from the interconnection of the proposed 115-kV subtransmission segments ASP4 and ASP5 at Skylark Substation. According to a recent updated publication on behavioral principles of livestock handling, cattle and sheep are more sensitive than people to high frequency noises. The auditory sensitivity of cattle is greatest at 8,000 hertz (Hz) and sheep at 7,000 Hz. For comparison, the human ear is most sensitive at 1,000 to 3,000 Hz. Unexpected loud or novel noises can be highly stressful to livestock (Grandin 1989). However, animals readily adapt to reasonable levels of continuous sound, such as white noise and miscellaneous sounds. Additional studies conducted to evaluate behavioral and physiological response to noise have reported signs of habituation to noise over 5-day-exposure trials (Waynert et al. 1999).

**4.11.1.5 Airports and Airstrips**

General aviation aircraft operations related to the local airports also contribute to the existing noise environment within the proposed projects area:

- **Skylark Field Airport (a private use airport):** located at the southern limits of the City of Lake Elsinore, approximately 1,000 feet from proposed 115-kV Segments ASP4 and ASP5.
- **Perris Valley Airport (a public use airport):** located in Central Perris, approximately 1.5 miles from 115-kV Segments ASP8 and VIG1.

1 **4.11.2 Regulatory Setting**  
2

3 **4.11.2.1 Federal**  
4

5 There are no federal noise standards that directly regulate community noise. Regulating noise is generally  
6 a responsibility of local governments. However, several federal agencies have developed community  
7 noise guidelines.  
8

9 The United States Environmental Protection Agency published guidelines on recommended maximum  
10 noise levels to protect public health and welfare with adequate margins of safety. A noise level of 70 dBA  
11 equivalent sound level over a 24-hour period was identified as the level of environmental noise that could  
12 lead to hearing loss over a 40-year period (EPA 1978). In addition, noise levels of 55 dBA  $L_{dn}$  outdoors  
13 and 45 dBA indoors were identified as noise thresholds that would prevent activity interference or  
14 annoyance (FTA 2006). Workers' exposure to noise is regulated by the federal occupational noise  
15 regulations established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration in 29 Code of Federal  
16 Regulations 1910.95.  
17

18 In regard to groundborne vibration and groundborne noise, agencies such as the Federal Transportation  
19 Administration (FTA) and the U.S. Bureau of Mines have extensively studied the effects of ground  
20 vibration and damage on structures. The FTA has established construction vibration damage criteria of a  
21 PPV of 0.12 inches per second or 90 VdB for buildings extremely susceptible to vibration damage.  
22

23 ***Cleveland National Forest Land Management Plan (Alberhill Project only)***

24 The Cleveland Forest Land Management Plan does not provide specific standards for noise within the  
25 National Forest. However, the Cleveland National Forest does enforce a sound limit of 101 dBA for off-  
26 highway vehicles throughout the forest (USFS n.d.).  
27

28 ***Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970***

29 Worker exposure to noise is regulated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration,  
30 which established occupational noise regulations in 29 CFR 1910.95.  
31

32 **4.11.2.2 State**  
33

34 ***California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 5096***

35 Worker noise exposure is regulated statewide by the California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section  
36 5096 "Exposure Limits for Noise." This regulation establishes a maximum permissible sound level of 90  
37 dBA for worker exposure during an 8-hour period and limits exposure to higher sound levels during  
38 shorter periods of time. When employees are subjected to sound levels exceeding those listed in this  
39 regulation, feasible administrative or engineering controls shall be utilized. If such controls fail to reduce  
40 sound levels within permissible levels, personal protective equipment shall be provided and used to  
41 reduce sound levels within the levels listing in the regulations.  
42  
43

### 4.11.2.3 Regional and Local

The CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the proposed Project. Pursuant to General Order No. 131-D, Section XIV.B, "Local jurisdictions acting pursuant to local authority are preempted from regulating electric power line projects, distribution lines, substations, or electric facilities constructed by public utilities subject to the CPUC's jurisdiction. However, in locating such projects, the public utilities are directed to consider local regulations and consult with local agencies regarding land use matters." Consequently, public utilities are directed to consider local regulations and consult with local agencies, but the county and cities' regulations are not applicable as the county and cities do not have jurisdiction over the proposed Project. Accordingly, a discussion of local land use regulations is provided in the following subsections for informational purposes only.

#### County of Riverside

The Noise Element of the County of Riverside General Plan establishes parameters for noise by land use designation. The maximum normally acceptable noise exposure level is 60 dBA (CNEL/L<sub>dn</sub>) for low-density residential and 75 dBA for industrial, utilities, and agricultural land uses (County of Riverside 2014a). The General Plan contains the following policies that are relevant to the proposed projects and intended to reduce noise in the proximity of sensitive receptors during construction and operation:

- **Policy N 4.1** Prohibit facility-related noise, received by any sensitive use, from exceeding the following worst-case noise levels:
  - 45 dBA-10-minute  $L_{eq}$  between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.
  - 65 dBA-10-minute  $L_{eq}$  between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.
- **Policy 11.1** Utilize natural barriers such as hills, berms, boulders, and dense vegetation to assist in noise reduction.
- **Policy N 12.1** Minimize the impacts of construction noise on adjacent uses within acceptable practices.
- **Policy N 12.2** Ensure that construction activities are regulated to establish hours of operation in order to prevent and/or mitigate the generation of excessive or adverse noise impacts on surrounding areas.
- **Policy N 12.4** Require that all construction equipment utilizes noise reduction features (e.g., mufflers and engine shrouds) that are no less effective than those originally installed by the manufacturer.

The Riverside County General Plan also provides guidelines for controlling groundborne vibration that are relevant to the proposed projects, such as:

- **Policy N 15.2** Consider the following land uses sensitive to vibration:
  - Hospitals;
  - Residential areas;
  - Concert halls;
  - Libraries;
  - Sensitive research operations;
  - Schools; and
  - Libraries.

1 Additionally, Appendix I of the County of Riverside General Plan includes a memorandum addressing the  
2 requirements for determining and mitigating non-transportation noise source impacts to residential  
3 properties, which could be relevant during permanent operation of the proposed Alberhill Substation. This  
4 memorandum establishes the requirements for determination of community noise impacts and it is  
5 applicable in the event a local resident files a noise complaint (County of Riverside 2009).  
6

7 The County also regulates construction noise through Ordinance No. 847 (as amended through 847.1).  
8 Ordinance 847 exempts private construction projects from the general sound level standards as long as  
9 they occur 0.25 miles or more from existing inhabited dwellings. For private construction projects located  
10 within 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling, construction noise is exempted from noise standards if work  
11 occurs between 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June through September and between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00  
12 p.m. from October through May. Work occurring outside the allowable hours would be subject to the  
13 general sound level standards presented in Section 4 of the ordinance. However, Section 7 of Ordinance  
14 No. 847 establishes that construction-related exceptions may be requested from the general sound level  
15 standards (County of Riverside 2007).  
16

17 **City of Lake Elsinore**

18 The City of Lake Elsinore General Plan, Chapter 3.0 Public Safety and Welfare (City of Lake Elsinore  
19 2011) provides the following goal relevant to the proposed projects:  
20

- 21 • **Goal 7** *Maintain an environment for all City Residents and visitors free of unhealthy, obtrusive,*  
22 *or otherwise excessive noise conditions.*  
23

24 The City of Lake Elsinore Zoning Code Chapter 17.176 (Noise Control) prohibits construction on  
25 weekdays between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. or at any time on weekends and holidays. The  
26 code also states that “where technically and economically feasible,” construction activities shall be  
27 conducted in such a manner that the maximum noise levels at affected properties will not exceed those  
28 levels listed in Table 4.11-6 (City of Lake Elsinore 1987).  
29

Table 4.11-6 Noise Restrictions at Affected Properties during Construction

Receptor Type	Construction Equipment Type and Schedule	Maximum Noise Level
Residential Properties	Mobile, nonscheduled, intermittent, short-term operation (less than 10 days), 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., excluding holidays and Sundays	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Single Family Residential: 75 dBA</li> <li>• Multifamily Residential: 80 dBA</li> <li>• Semi-Residential/Commercial: 85 dBA</li> </ul>
	Stationary, repetitively scheduled and relatively long-term operation (10 days or more), 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., excluding holidays and Sundays	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Single Family Residential: 60 dBA</li> <li>• Multifamily Residential: 65 dBA</li> <li>• Semi-Residential/Commercial: 70 dBA</li> </ul>
Business Properties	Mobile, nonscheduled, intermittent, short term operation, all hours and days	85 dBA
	Stationary, repetitively scheduled and relatively long-term operation, all hours and days	75 dBA

Source: City of Lake Elsinore 1987.

Note: Limitations listed in relevant part, as would be applicable to the proposed projects.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

30 The City of Lake Elsinore Exterior Noise Limit standards would be applicable during the proposed  
31 projects operations. These standards are presented in Table 4.11-7.  
32  
33

1

Table 4.11-7 City of Lake Elsinore Exterior Noise Standards

Receiving Land Use Category	Time Period	Noise Level (dBA)
Single-Family Residential	10:00 p.m. – 7:00 a.m.	40
	7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.	50
Multiple Dwelling Residential	10:00 p.m. – 7:00 a.m.	45
	7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.	50
Limited Commercial and Office	10:00 p.m. – 7:00 a.m.	55
	7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.	60
General Commercial	10:00 p.m. – 7:00 a.m.	60
	7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.	65
Light Industrial	Anytime	70
Heavy Industrial	Anytime	75

Source: City of Lake Elsinore 1987.

Note:

a Levels not to be exceeded more than 30 minutes in any hour.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

2

3 Regarding vibration sources, the City of Lake Elsinore Municipal Code Section 17.176.80 (G) prohibits  
4 operating or permitting any device that creates a vibration which is above the vibration perception  
5 threshold of any individual at or beyond the property boundary of the source if on private property, or at  
6 150 feet (46 meters) from the source if on a public space (City of Lake Elsinore 1987). The proposed  
7 projects would not be subject to this regulation because the regulation exempts any activity that is  
8 regulated by state law in a way that preempts regulation by the City (City of Lake Elsinore Municipal  
9 Code Section 17.176.100 (J)). California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) regulation preempts local  
10 regulation, as discussed in Section 4.10, “Land Use.”

11

12 **City of Perris**

13 The City of Perris General Plan (2005) has noise policies related to new and future land uses and road  
14 improvements; none of these policies are applicable to the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project (City of  
15 Perris 2005).

16

17 Chapter 7.34 of the Perris Municipal Code regulates noise in Perris. Section 7.34.060 regulates  
18 construction noise and prohibits construction that creates disturbing, excessive, or offensive noise  
19 between 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. and on legal holidays (except Columbus Day and Washington’s  
20 Birthday) and Sundays. Further, construction noise cannot exceed 80 dBA in residential zones. The City  
21 of Perris Municipal Code does not include provisions for construction-related exceptions.

22

23 **City of Menifee**

24 The City of Menifee General Plan Noise Element (2013) establishes the following applicable goal and  
25 policies for the proposed projects:

26

- 27 • **Goal N-1:** *Noise-sensitive land uses are protected from excessive noise and vibration exposure.*
- 28 • **Policy N-1.7** *Mitigate exterior and interior noises to the levels listed in Table 4.11-8 to the*  
29 *extent feasible for stationary sources adjacent to sensitive receptors:*
- 30 • **Policy N-1.13** *Require new development to minimize vibration impacts to adjacent uses during*  
31 *demolition and construction.*

32

1

Table 4.11-8 City of Menifee Stationary Source Noise Standards

Residential Land Use	Interior Standards	Exterior Standards
10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.	40 dB $L_{eq}$ (10 minute)	45 dB $L_{eq}$ (10 minute)
7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.	55 dB $L_{eq}$ (10 minute)	65dB $L_{eq}$ (10 minute)

Source: City of Menifee 2013.

Key:

$L_{eq}$  = Sound Equivalent Level

2

3 Chapter 9.09 of the Menifee Municipal Code regulates noise. It codifies the levels listed in Table 4.11-8.

4 The code also allows for application of an exception for construction activities if construction activities

5 occur more than 0.25 miles from an inhabited dwelling or if construction occurs within 0.25 miles of an

6 inhabited dwelling and construction does not occur between 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. from June through

7 September and between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. from October through May. Construction activities on

8 Sundays and national holidays are governed by time limits in Section 8.01.010 of the municipal code,

9 which prohibits construction on those days unless approval is obtained from the City Building Official or

10 City Engineer. Section 9.09.030 of the City of Menifee Municipal Code includes a provision for

11 construction-related exceptions.

12

13 **City of Wildomar**

14 At the time of preparation of this document, the City of Wildomar had not adopted a general plan. The

15 City of Wildomar was incorporated in 2008 and adopted the County of Riverside’s general plan at that

16 time. County ordinances remain in effect until the city enacts ordinances superseding them. Refer to the

17 discussion of Riverside County’s General Plan and ordinances.

18

19 **City of Orange**

20 The City of Orange General Plan Noise Element (City of Orange 2010) establishes the following

21 applicable goal and policies for construction, maintenance, and industrial noise:

22

23 • **Goal 7.0:** *Minimize construction, maintenance vehicle, and nuisance noise in residential areas*  
24 *and near noise-sensitive land uses.*

25 • **Policy 7.2:** *Require developers and contractors to employ noise minimizing techniques during*  
26 *construction and maintenance operations.*

27 • **Policy 7.3:** *Limit the hours of construction and maintenance operations located adjacent to*  
28 *noise-sensitive land uses.*

29

30 The City of Orange Municipal Code exempts construction from exterior noise standards if it occurs

31 between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. on days other than Sundays or federal holidays. All other noise is

32 subject to standards outlined in Section 8.24.040 which regulates noise levels in residential areas. Limits

33 are shown in Table 4.11-9.

34

Table 4.11-9 City of Orange Exterior Noise Standards per Orange Municipal Code

	Noise Level	Time Period
Hourly Average ( $L_{eq}$ )	55 dB (A)	7:00 a.m.—10:00 p.m.
	50 dB (A)	10:00 p.m.—7:00 a.m.
Maximum Level	70 dB (A)	7:00 a.m.—10:00 p.m.
	65 dB (A)	10:00 p.m.—7:00 a.m.

Source: City of Orange 2010.

$L_{eq}$  = Sound Equivalent Level

35

1 **City of Corona**

2 If the proposed Alberhill Project Soil Import Option 2 is selected, construction of the proposed Alberhill  
3 Substation would require soil to be trucked in from a local quarry, such as Corona Rock and Asphalt,  
4 which is located under the jurisdiction of the City of Corona. During a 60-day period, a total of 92 daily  
5 truck trips would occur from the local quarry to the proposed substation site. The traffic noise related  
6 goals of the City of Corona General Plan pertain to roadway design and enforcement of motor vehicle  
7 noise standards. None of the goals would therefore be pertinent to the proposed Alberhill Project.  
8

9 **4.11.3 Methodology and Significance Criteria**

10  
11 Potential noise and vibration impacts were evaluated according to the following significance criteria. The  
12 criteria were defined based on the checklist items presented in Appendix G of the California  
13 Environmental Quality Act Guidelines. The proposed projects would cause a significant impact on visual  
14 resources if they would:  
15

- 16 a) Expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general  
17 plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies;
- 18 b) Expose persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels;
- 19 c) Cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels  
20 existing without the project;
- 21 d) Cause a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity  
22 above levels existing without the project;
- 23 e) Expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels, for a project  
24 located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two  
25 miles of a public airport or public use airport;
- 26 f) Expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels, for a project  
27 within the vicinity of a private airstrip.  
28

29 Evaluation of noise and vibration impacts from the proposed projects construction, operation and  
30 maintenance included the review of relevant city and county noise standards; the existing noise  
31 environment along the proposed projects area, and the projected noise levels from equipment, vehicles,  
32 and activities. County maps were reviewed to determine the proximity of the proposed projects to  
33 sensitive receptors and airports. In addition, land use plans and topographic and noise contours maps were  
34 researched for relevant information on the existing noise and vibration levels.  
35

36 **Noise Methodology**

37 To evaluate potential noise impacts due to construction of the proposed projects, reference noise levels  
38 were obtained from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Roadway Construction Noise Model  
39 User's Guide (FHWA 2006) which provides a comprehensive assessment of noise level usage factors for  
40 construction equipment. Based on the list of equipment proposed for construction and typical noise levels  
41 for those pieces of equipment (see Table 4.11-10), maximum noise emission levels were identified in the  
42 guide to estimate combined noise levels at various distances.  
43

Table 4.11-10 Equipment Types and Typical Unmitigated Noise Emission Levels

Equipment	Maximum Sound Level (Lmax, dBA) at 50 feet from source
Air Compressor	81
Backhoe	80
Compactor	82
Concrete Mixer	85
Concrete Pump	82
Crane, Derrick	88
Crane, Mobile	83
Dozer	85
Generator	81
Grader	85
Impact Wrench	85
Jack Hammer	88
Loader	85
Paver	89
Pneumatic Tool	85
Pump	76
Rock Drill	98
Roller	74
Saw	76
Scarifier	83
Scraper	89
Truck	88

Source: FHWA 2006.

Note: Noise levels resulting from construction equipment are dependent on several factors including the number and type of equipment operating, the level of operation, and the distance between sources and receptors. The loudest equipment during construction would contribute to a composite average or equivalent site noise level.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

Lmax = Maximum Sound Level

1  
2 Noise levels resulting from construction equipment are dependent on several factors including the number  
3 and type of equipment operating, the level of operation, and the distance between sources and receptors.  
4 Further, each piece of equipment would not be utilized at its maximum sound level for the entire work  
5 period; a usage factor is therefore assigned to each piece of construction equipment. The loudest  
6 equipment during construction would contribute to a composite average or equivalent site noise level. The  
7 noise levels generated by construction were analyzed using a construction noise model to determine  
8 projected noise levels at various distances and receptor locations during a typical hour of construction.  
9 The model considers construction equipment noise specification data published by FHWA, typical usage  
10 factors per type of equipment, and the relative distances measured from the source of noise to the nearest  
11 sensitive receptor.

12 To analyze potential noise impacts during construction and operation and maintenance, composite noise  
13 levels were estimated and compared with the existing ambient noise levels as well as with applicable  
14 federal, state, and local noise standards, as appropriate, for the significance criterion in question. For  
15 estimating noise reduction over distance, calculations were based on the general rule that airborne noise  
16 decreases by 6 dBA with each doubling the distance.  
17

**Vibration Methodology**

To evaluate potential annoyance or interference with vibration-sensitive activities, the estimated vibration level at the nearest sensitive receptor was estimated using an equation that takes into account the estimated vibration level at a selected reference distance and the distance to the nearest sensitive receptor. The results were compared with the thresholds in Table 4.11-11. Residential annoyance (80 VdB) has been determined to be the significance threshold for this analysis.

Table 4.11-11 Human and Structural Response to Typical Levels of Vibration

Human/Structural Response	Vibration Velocity Level (VdB) <sup>a</sup>	Typical Sources
Threshold, minor cosmetic damage to fragile buildings	100	Blasting from construction projects
Difficulty with tasks (e.g., reading a screen)	90	Bulldozers and other heavy tracked construction equipment
Residential annoyance, transient events	80	Commuter rail, upper range
Residential annoyance, continuous events	70	Rapid transit, typical
Human threshold of perception and limit for vibration sensitive equipment	65	Bus or truck, typical
No human response	50	Typical background vibration

Source: FTA 2006.

Note:

<sup>a</sup> Root-mean square vibration velocity level in VdB is relative to a one millionth part of an inch per second (1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> inches/second).

Key:

VdB = decibels of vibration velocity

**4.11.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures (Valley-Ivyglen Project)**

**4.11.4.1 Project Commitments (Valley-Ivyglen Project)**

The applicant has committed to the following as part of the design of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project. See Section 2.6, “Project Commitments,” for a complete description of each project commitment.

**Project Commitment H: Noise Control**

- All construction and general maintenance activities, except in an emergency or within enclosed structures which reduce the noise to less than significant, shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and prohibited on Sundays and ~~all legally proclaimed holidays recognized by the local jurisdiction. In the event, If the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and/or Caltrans require that construction activities are necessary~~ conductor stringing over freeways or highways occur after 7:00 p.m., or on days or hours outside of what is specified by the local ordinance ~~Sunday, SCE would provide advance notification, including a general description of the work to be performed, location and hours of construction anticipated, to the CPUC, the local jurisdiction, and residents within 300 feet of the anticipated work. obtain variances from all applicable jurisdictions.~~
- Construction equipment shall use noise reduction features (e.g., mufflers and engine shrouds) that are no less effective than those originally installed by the manufacturer.
- Construction traffic shall be routed away from residences and schools where feasible.
- Unnecessary construction vehicle use and idling time shall be minimized to the extent feasible. The ability to limit construction vehicle idling time is dependent upon the sequence of construction activities and when and where vehicles are needed or staged. A “common sense” approach to vehicle use shall be applied; if a vehicle is not required for use

1 immediately or continuously for construction activities, its engine should be shut off. Note:  
2 certain equipment, such as large diesel-powered vehicles require extended idling for warm-up  
3 and repetitive construction tasks.

- 4 | • The applicant will notify all receptors within ~~300~~500 feet of construction of the potential to  
5 | experience significant noise levels during construction.
- 6 | • During construction, the applicant will use a temporary noise barrier between the construction  
7 | area and the residences ~~sound walls, noise reduction blankets, or other noise reduction~~  
8 | ~~measures prior to developing the project site~~ in areas where sensitive receptors would be  
9 | subjected to significant noise impacts.
- 10 | • The applicant would shield small stationary equipment with portable barriers within 100 feet  
11 | of residences, where feasible.
- 12 | • The applicant would minimize engine idling and turn off engines when not in use.
- 13 | • Where blasting is required, the applicant would conduct additional pre-blast notification and  
14 | coordination with residents, utilities, and others that may be affected by blasting operations.

#### 16 4.11.4.2 Impacts Analysis (Valley-Ivyglen Project)

17  
18 **Impact NV-1 (VIG): Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards**  
19 **established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable**  
20 **standards of other agencies.**

21 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION*  
22

23 The proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would occur in the cities of Menifee, Perris, Lake Elsinore, and  
24 unincorporated areas of western Riverside County. The following analysis discusses the potential  
25 exposure of persons to or generation of the applicable noise standards at each of these jurisdictions.  
26

#### 27 **Construction**

28 The proposed construction of subtransmission line segments and telecommunication components would  
29 | be temporary, occurring over a total period of ~~28~~27 months.  
30

31 Project construction activities would cause noise on a temporary basis at every proposed structure  
32 location, primarily from heavy duty construction equipment use for subtransmission line and  
33 telecommunication installation, helicopter use for wire stringing operations, vehicles for worker  
34 commute, and trucks needed to bring materials to the construction sites. The overhead telecommunication  
35 line construction would also require the use of bucket truck and several crew trucks.  
36

#### 37 *115-kV Segment VIG1*

38 115-kV Segment VIG1 would be located in the cities of Menifee and Perris. The City of Perris prohibits  
39 construction that creates disturbing, excessive, or offensive noise from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., on Sundays,  
40 and on legal holidays (except Columbus Day and Washington's Birthday). There is also a noise limit of 80  
41 dBA in residential zones during times when construction is allowed.  
42

43 The predicted noise level at the nearest sensitive receptor in the City of Perris (a residence on Caldera  
44 Street, 45 feet from the project area) would be 95 dBA (E & E 2015b, SCE 2014). In addition, a Hughes  
45 500E helicopter would be used for wire stringing along segment VIG1, resulting in potential impacts  
46 during helicopter flyovers above residential areas. This type of helicopter produces a maximum sound  
47 level of 82.3 dBA, at a level fly over of 500 feet and 130 miles per hour (mph; FAA 1977). In addition, the

1 applicant would use blasting at certain locations along segment VIG1, specifically near structure 131 ~~and~~  
2 ~~between structures 144 and 147~~, in the City of Perris. Blasting would result in high instantaneous noise  
3 levels of 94 dBA at 50 feet per blasting event (FHWA 2006). The nearest sensitive receptors to blasting  
4 sites are located over 1,500~~at 47~~ feet from work areas, ~~and therefore, are not expected to exceed~~ ~~resulting in~~  
5 levels above the 80-dBA threshold during blasting events.  
6

7 The City of Menifee Municipal Code exempts construction activities that occur more than 0.25 miles from  
8 an inhabited dwelling or if construction occurs within 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling and construction  
9 does not occur between 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. from June through September and between 6:00 p.m. and  
10 7:00 a.m. from October through May. Also, Section 9.09.030 of the City of Menifee Municipal Code  
11 allows for construction-related exceptions. The nearest sensitive receptor in the City of Menifee (located  
12 near the intersection of Dawson Road and McLaughlin Road) is located 150 feet from the proposed VIG1  
13 alignment<sup>1</sup>.  
14

15 Construction along segment VIG 1 may occur outside of allowed hours and would exceed the 80-dBA  
16 threshold for the City of Perris and also may occur within non-exempt hours and distances from inhabited  
17 dwellings in the City of Menifee. Impacts on noise standards within the City of Perris and the City of  
18 Menifee would be significant.  
19

20 The applicant will implement Project Commitment H, ~~which limits all construction and general~~  
21 ~~maintenance activities, except in an emergency, between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., and prohibited on~~  
22 ~~Sundays and all legally proclaimed holidays. The applicant would also obtain variances from local~~  
23 ~~jurisdictions, if CAISO and/or Caltrans require that conductor stringing over freeways or highways occur~~  
24 ~~after 7:00 p.m., or on a Sunday.~~ Current City of Perris regulations do not provide exemptions or special  
25 variances for construction works conducted outside allowed hours. The only freeway crossing within the  
26 City of Perris would be located at the intersection of McLaughlin Road with I-215, along the city limit  
27 between the City of Perris and the City of Menifee. The closest sensitive receptor to the freeway crossing  
28 in the City of Perris would be located on Trumble Road, approximately 1,600 feet from the proposed I-215  
29 crossing. The closet residential receptors to the proposed I-215 crossing are in the City of Menifee, which  
30 allows for construction-related exceptions in the event temporary construction work occurs outside  
31 allowed hours.  
32

33 In addition, implementation of Project Commitment H ~~may~~ would provide ~~the following~~ construction  
34 noise reduction if the following are used as noise barriers:  
35

- 36 • Mufflers and engine shrouds in combustion engines: 8 dBA<sup>2</sup>;
  - 37 • Sound walls and noise reduction blankets surrounding equipment: 5 dBA<sup>3</sup>; and
  - 38 • Enclosures in small stationary equipment: 8 dBA<sup>4</sup>.
- 39

---

<sup>1</sup> The City of Menifee also limits exterior noise from stationary sources is 65 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> (10 minute) from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Construction noise within the City of Menifee would be transient and not stationary; therefore, this standard is not applicable.

<sup>2</sup> Minimal transmission loss (decibels) reported for reactive silencers or mufflers in industrial applications (Ray 2013).

<sup>3</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from heavy vinyl noise curtain materials (FHWA 2006).

<sup>4</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from noise sources completely enclosed, or completely shielded with a solid barrier located closed to the source.

1 Project Commitment H would reduce impacts related to the timing of construction to less than significant  
2 levels in the City of Menifee. Significant impacts related to the timing of construction in the City of Perris  
3 would still occur. Implementation of MM NV-1 will require the applicant to implement a noise control  
4 plan, which would require the applicant to provide a written justification for the need of work outside of  
5 regularly scheduled construction hours for CPUC approval. Impacts related to the level of noise during  
6 construction would be less than significant in the City of Menifee, as construction noise is exempt, as  
7 discussed above. Impacts related to the level of noise during construction would be significant in the City  
8 of Perris. Implementation of Project Commitment H would reduce noise levels by about 8 dBA, but  
9 impacts related to construction noise levels would remain significant (i.e., above 80 dBA) in the City of  
10 Perris. Mitigation Measure (MM) NV-1 would require the applicant to implement noise-reduction  
11 strategies, such as limiting timeframes for use of heavy-duty equipment and reducing the number of  
12 pieces of equipment operating concurrently to reduce noise to that required under the City of Perris  
13 Municipal Code or to the extent technologically and economically feasible. Implementation of MM NV-1  
14 would reduce noise to levels that are in compliance with the City of Perris noise ordinance. Impacts on  
15 noise standards in the City of Perris would be less than significant after mitigation.  
16

17 *115-kV Segments VIG2, VIG6 through VIG8; Staging Areas VIG3, VIG4, and VIG9*

18 115-kV Segments VIG2 and VIG6 through VIG8 as well as Staging Areas VIG3, VIG4, and VIG9 would  
19 be located in unincorporated Riverside County. Riverside County exempts private construction projects  
20 from the general sound level standards as long as they are located 0.25 miles or more from an inhabited  
21 dwelling. For project construction sites located within 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling, the County  
22 requires construction work to occur between 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June through September and  
23 between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from October through May. Construction activities may take place  
24 outside of these times, which would require compliance with the Riverside County general sound level  
25 standards and result in a significant impact, unless a construction-related exception is filed. Project  
26 Commitment H would limit construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and require the applicant  
27 to obtain variances from all applicable jurisdictions when construction work would occur outside allowed  
28 hours. Section 7 of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 847 provides construction-related exceptions for  
29 activities subject to the general sound level standards. Implementation of Commitment H would reduce  
30 impacts to less than significant.  
31

32 *115-kV Segments VIG3 through VIG5; Staging Areas VIG5 through VIG8*

33 115-kV Segments VIG2 (partially), and VIG3 through VIG5 and Staging Areas VIG5 through VIG8  
34 would be located in Lake Elsinore. Wire stringing operations along segments VIG4 and VIG5 would  
35 require the use of a Hughes 500E helicopter, this type of helicopter produces a maximum sound level of  
36 82.3 dBA, at a level fly over of 500 feet and 130 mph (FAA 1977). In addition, construction of segment  
37 VIG5 would involve blasting at specific locations. Blasting would result in noise levels of 94 dBA at 50  
38 feet per blasting event (FHWA 2006) and perceived as 69 dBA at the nearest sensitive receptor  
39 (residences near structures 32 to 35).  
40

41 Construction is prohibited in Lake Elsinore on weekdays between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. or  
42 at any time on weekends and holidays. Further, the city requires mitigating noise to certain noise levels  
43 where feasible, as described in Table 4.11-6. The predicted noise levels at the nearest sensitive receptors  
44 to these project segments are listed in Table 4.11-12.  
45

Table 4.11-12 Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors in Lake Elsinore

Project Component	Closest Receptor	Receptor Distance (feet)	Predicted Noise level (dBA)	Lake Elsinore Noise Standard	Exceeds standard?
115-kV Segment VIG2	Residence on Central Avenue, near Allan Street	184	83	75	Yes
115-kV Segment VIG3	Residence on Conard Avenue	20	102	75	Yes
115-kV Segment VIG4	Residence on Baker Street	77	90	75	Yes
115-kV Segment VIG5	Residence on Lake Street, near Temescal Canyon Road	310	79	75	Yes
Staging Area VIG5	Residence across SR-74 on Carla Court, multiple units	179	69	60	Yes
Staging Area VIG6	Riverside Recovery Resources (Collier Avenue with Third Street)	585	59	60	No
Staging Area VIG8	Elsinore Valley Cemetery	1,026	55	N/A	No

Key:  
dBA = A-weighted decibel  
kV = kilovolt

1  
2 As shown in Table 4.11-12, the Lake Elsinore numeric standards would be exceeded in several locations  
3 during construction. Construction may take place outside of allowed times and may exceed the numeric  
4 thresholds, causing a significant impact. As mentioned above, Project Commitment H would limit  
5 construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and would also require the applicant to reduce noise  
6 levels by using noise reduction devices, such as mufflers and engine shrouds in combustion engines,  
7 sound walls and noise reduction blankets surrounding equipment, and enclosures in small stationary  
8 equipment.

9  
10 Cumulatively, noise would be reduced by about 8 dBA due to implementation of Project Commitment H.  
11 Project Commitment H would reduce impacts related to the timing of construction to less than significant.  
12 However, impacts related to the noise level would remain significant since the highest noise level  
13 generated would be 94 dBA, far above the 75 dBA threshold. MM NV-1 would require the applicant to  
14 implement noise-reduction strategies, such as limiting timeframes for use of heavy-duty equipment and  
15 reducing the number of pieces of equipment operating concurrently to reduce noise to that required under  
16 Lake Elsinore’s construction noise ordinance or to the lowest level technologically and economically  
17 feasible. Implementation of MM NV-1 would reduce noise to levels that are in compliance with the noise  
18 ordinance. Noise impacts in Lake Elsinore would be less than significant after mitigation.

19  
20 **Operation and Maintenance**

21 The main operational noise source associated with the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project will be corona  
22 noise emitted by the proposed 115-kV subtransmission lines. The corona effect is the ionization of the air  
23 that occurs at the surface of the energized conductor and suspension hardware due to very high electric  
24 field strength at the surface of the metal during certain conditions. The corona discharge occurs at the  
25 conductor surface, representing a small dissipation of heat and energy in the form of local pressure  
26 changes that may result in audible noise or radio and television interference. Audible noise levels from

1 the 115-kV subtransmission line segments are expected to be relatively low, generally less than 34 dBA  
2 in rainy conditions directly below the conductor. Corona noise would not cause a violation of any noise  
3 standards.

4  
5 Maintenance activities on the 115-kV subtransmission lines would be primarily for inspection and would  
6 occur at least once per year by driving and/or flying the line routes, resulting in a temporary increase of  
7 noise levels due to vehicle and/or helicopter use. ~~Although helicopter use noise from these sources would~~  
8 ~~be limited and short term, but~~ have the potential to exceed noise standards in the City of Perris and City  
9 of Lake Elsinore, for those activities occurring within 50 feet from sensitive receptors. ~~Implementation of~~  
10 ~~MM NV-1 would reduce noise from these sources would be limited and short-term maintenance activities~~  
11 ~~to levels that are in compliance with the applicable noise ordinances.~~ Therefore, operation and  
12 maintenance noise impacts would be less than significant ~~after mitigation~~. Other maintenance activities  
13 include the inspection and repair of telecommunication components, which would occur once per year at  
14 each substation. Impacts associated to inspection and repair of telecommunication components would not  
15 exceed applicable noise standards.

### 17 **Mitigation Measure**

18 **MM NV-1 Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction Measures.** Prior the start of construction,  
19 the applicant shall prepare and submit to the CPUC a Noise Control Plan, which shall detail the  
20 frequency, location, and methodology for noise monitoring prior to and during the proposed construction  
21 activities, ~~such as for activities within the Cities of Lake Elsinore and Perris.~~ The Noise Control Plan will  
22 shall also detail the actions and procedures that the applicant will implement to avoid significant impacts  
23 from temporary ambient noise increases. Measures in the Noise Control Plan shall include, but not be  
24 limited to the following:

- 25
- 26 ~~• Limiting the timeframes for heavy duty equipment usage to less than 4 hours per day;~~
- 27 • Reducing the number of pieces of equipment concurrently operating near sensitive receptors, as
- 28 feasible.
- 29 • ~~Where feasible and available, using~~ Using construction equipment specifically designed for low
- 30 noise emissions (i.e., equipment that is powered by electric or natural gas engines instead of
- 31 diesel or gasoline reciprocating engines). Electric engines have been reported to have lower noise
- 32 levels than internal combustion engines.
- 33 • Compensating residents for temporary relocation during high-noise activities that cannot be
- 34 reduced to less than 9075 dBA.
- 35 ~~• If noise from construction and maintenance equipment will result in noise levels in excess of 75~~
- 36 ~~dBa at the closest residential receptor's property line, the applicant shall implement additional~~
- 37 ~~noise reduction measures, including the use of portable noise absorption screens surrounding the~~
- 38 ~~specific work area and a staggered construction work practice as needed, to ensure that noise~~
- 39 ~~levels in areas close to sensitive receptors are within an acceptable range (i.e., 65 to 75 dBA, to~~
- 40 ~~the extent technically and economically feasible).~~
- 41 • The applicant shall provide a written request to the CPUC regarding any construction that will
- 42 occur during the hours of 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. or on Sundays any legally proclaimed holidays.
- 43 The written request shall include justification of why work must occur during these hours/days,
- 44 and a detailed description of work activities and location to be performed. The applicant must
- 45 receive approval from the CPUC prior to any construction work occurring during these timThe
- 46 applicant shall monitor construction and maintenance noise levels in hourly equivalent averages
- 47 Leq(h) before and during construction activities planned within 2050 feet of noise sensitive

receptors. During the project construction period, noise measurements shall be taken on a daily basis and reported to the CPUC on a monthly basis, within 15 days of the end of the monitoring period.

- Where applicable, the hours of construction may be altered from Project Commitment H to include a 12-hour day in accordance with a local jurisdiction. Within the City of Wildomar, for instance, construction may occur between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. instead of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.

The applicant shall submit the Noise Control Plan to the CPUC for review and approval at least 30 days prior to the start of project construction. The applicant shall comply with all requirements of the approved Noise Control Plan whenever it applies during construction and maintenance activities for the projects.

**Impact NV-2 (VIG): Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.**  
*LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION*

**Construction**

Construction of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would create perceptible groundborne vibration and groundborne noise from blasting, the use of heavy-duty construction equipment (e.g., trucks, backhoes, excavators, loaders, and cranes), the tamping or compacting of ground surfaces, the passing of heavy trucks on uneven surfaces, and the excavation of trenches.

Vehicle and heavy duty truck use during the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project construction would generate a continuous but relatively low level of vibration. Typical vibration levels generated by construction equipment are shown in Table 4.11-13.

Table 4.11-13 Vibration Levels from Typical Construction Equipment (Valley-Ivyglen Project)

Vibration Source	Reported Vibration Level at 25 feet (VdB)	Estimated Vibration Level at 50 feet (VdB)
Large bulldozer	87	78
Loaded trucks	86	77
Jackhammer	79	70
Small bulldozer	58	49
Criteria of residential annoyance for infrequent vibration events (FTA)	80	80
Exceeds threshold?	Yes	No

Sources: FTA 2006.

Key:

FTA = Federal Transit Administration.

VdB = decibels of vibration velocity

As shown in Table 4.11-13, the estimated groundborne vibration levels from construction equipment would be below the FTA criteria for residential annoyance at receptors located at a minimum distance of 50 feet from heavy-duty equipment. However, certain residential receptors in Perris, Lake Elsinore, and unincorporated Riverside County would be located within 25 feet of construction sites, as shown in Table 4.11-2, resulting in the potential to exceed thresholds of groundborne vibration at sensitive receptors. Construction in these areas would occur during daytime hours, when residences are least sensitive.

1 Construction in these areas would also be temporary, and vibration would be intermittent. Vibration  
2 impacts would be less than significant.

3  
4 As described in Section 2.4.5.4, the applicant may use blasting in areas where rock is present and may  
5 preclude excavation using conventional construction equipment to install a number of structures along  
6 115-kV Segments VIG1, VIG2, VIG5, VIG6, and VIG8. Blasting locations for 115-kV Segments VIG1,  
7 VIG2, and VIG5 would be located between 65 to 90 feet from sensitive receptors (see Table 2-11+0).

8  
9 Typically, only a portion of the energy is consumed in breaking up and moving the rock when a blast is  
10 detonated. The remaining energy is dissipated in the form of seismic waves expanding rapidly outward  
11 from the blast, either through the ground (as vibration) or through the air (as air overpressure or airblast).  
12 Seismic waves from blasting dissipate rapidly with distance, normally by two-thirds for each doubling of  
13 distance from the source (Caltrans 2013). Table 4.11-14 shows the estimated unmitigated ground  
14 vibration levels at identified receptors.  
15

Table 4.11-14 Unmitigated Blasting Vibration Levels at Sensitive Receptors (Valley-Ivyglen Project)

Segment	Nearest Sensitive Receptor	Typical Blasting Vibration Level at 50 feet (VdB)	Estimated Vibration Level at 25 feet (VdB)	Estimated Vibration Level at Receptor (VdB)
115-kV Segment VIG1	80 feet	100	109	94
115-kV Segment VIG2	65 feet	100	109	97
115-kV Segment VIG5	90 feet	100	109	92
115-kV Segment VIG6	None in proximity	N/A	N/A	N/A
115-kV Segment VIG8	None in proximity	N/A	N/A	N/A
Criteria of residential annoyance for infrequent vibration events (FTA)		80	80	80
Exceeds threshold?		Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: FTA 2006, SCE 2014.

Key:

kV = kilovolt

N/A = not applicable

VdB = decibels of vibration velocity

16  
17 As shown in Table 4.11-14, the proposed project's blasting activities have the potential to exceed the  
18 FTA human annoyance thresholds of human perception. As part of Project Commitment H, the applicant  
19 would conduct pre-blast notification and coordination with residents, utilities, and others that may be  
20 affected by blasting operations. However, notifications to residents would not reduce the potential for  
21 ground vibration impacts during blasting operations to less than significant. MM NV-2 would require the  
22 applicant to implement vibration control measures for blasts likely to result in blast levels with a PPV in  
23 excess of 2.0 inches/second or 80 VdB measured at the closest residential receptor's property line.  
24 Implementation of MM NV-23 would reduce impacts from blasting-induced groundborne vibration to  
25 less than significant.  
26  
27

1 **Operation and Maintenance**

2 Operation and maintenance activities would involve routine maintenance and emergency repairs. These  
3 activities would generate minimal groundborne vibration through use of trucks and potentially heavy  
4 equipment. Maintenance activities would be infrequent and temporary. Impacts would be less than  
5 significant.

6  
7 **Mitigation Measure**

8 **MM VIG NV-2: Blasting Vibration Control Measures.** During final project design, if blasting is  
9 proposed, the applicant shall develop a blasting mitigation and monitoring plan to be implemented during  
10 blasting activities for the Valley-Ivyglen project. The plan shall be submitted to the CPUC for review and  
11 approval at least 30 days prior to the start of project construction. During plan development, applicant  
12 must assess distances to sensitive receptors and include blasting procedures in the plan that ensure  
13 blasting operations will be engineered safely and effectively. The plan shall include the following  
14 requirements for blasting activities:

- 15 • Using blasting methods designed to reduce vibration and air overpressure;
- 16 • Using pre-blast warning signals prior to detonating the blast and after detonation, conducting  
17 post-blast safety inspections;
- 18 • Conducting blast monitoring for all blasting operations. A daily log shall be maintained by the  
19 blasting contractor for each blast detonated on each working day, including monitoring of ground  
20 motions, peak particle velocity, and air blast levels;
- 21 • Implementing modifications to blasting procedures -- such as using different delay patterns,  
22 reducing the size of individual blasts, using shorter and/or smaller diameter blast holes, closer  
23 spacing of blast holes, reducing volume of explosives used, using protective measures (e.g.,  
24 gravel or blasts mats) -- as necessary to control rock and debris that may be expelled from the  
25 blast sites and sound walls or a combination of measures in the case that blasting would result in  
26 vibration or blast levels with a PPV in excess of 2.0 inches/second or 80 VdB as measured at the  
27 closest residential receptors property line;
- 28 • Limiting hours of blasting to daytime hours between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday through  
29 Saturday;
- 30 • Implementing a public outreach program to provide alerts the affected public to the potential for  
31 vibrations and noise associated with blasting not less than three and not more than ten days prior  
32 to the commencement of blast activities; and
- 33 • Responding to and investigating complaints.
- 34
- 35

36 **Impact NV-3 (VIG): Substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity**  
37 **above levels existing without the project.**  
38 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT*

39  
40 A substantial noise increase is defined as 10 dBA for the sake of this analysis because an increase of 10  
41 dBA is perceived as a doubling in loudness. The average ambient noise level in the project area is 65  
42 dBA. An increase would therefore be substantial if it increased ambient noise levels to 75 dBA.

43  
44 **Construction**

45 Construction of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would be temporary (a maximum period of ~~2827~~  
46 months) and would be transient along the subtransmission alignment. Construction would not be

1 permanent; therefore, construction would not cause a permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the  
2 project vicinity. Construction of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would have no impact under this  
3 criterion.

4  
5 **Operation and Maintenance**

6 Operation of the proposed project would generate corona noise. Corona noise levels would be  
7 approximately 34 dBA directly below the conductor. Existing noise levels in the project area range from  
8 60 to 66 dBA in the daytime and 55 to 62 dBA at night. Corona noise would not be perceptible against  
9 the higher ambient noise levels.

10  
11 Noise from vehicles and equipment used during routine maintenance activities would be infrequent and  
12 would not result in a permanent increase to ambient noise levels. Operation and maintenance of the  
13 proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would have a less than significant impact on permanent ambient noise  
14 levels.

15  
16 **Impact NV-4 (VIG): Substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the**  
17 **project vicinity above levels existing without the project.**  
18 *SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE*

19  
20 A substantial noise increase is defined as 10 dBA for the sake of this analysis because an increase of 10  
21 dBA is perceived as a doubling in loudness. The average ambient noise level in the project area is 65  
22 dBA. An increase would therefore be substantial if it increased ambient noise levels to 75 dBA.

23  
24 **Construction**

25 Noise generated from construction equipment and vehicle and helicopter use would result in temporary  
26 contributions to the ambient noise levels in the project vicinity during the overall 2827-month  
27 construction period. As shown in Tables 4.11-15 and 4.11-16, potential noise levels during the proposed  
28 Valley-Ivyglen Project’s construction would exceed reported ambient noise levels presented in Table  
29 4.11-1 at closest sensitive receptors.  
30

Table 4.11-15 Valley-Ivyglen Unmitigated Project Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Noise Level at Sensitive Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
<b>Subtransmission Lines</b>					
115-kV Segment VIG1	Residential unit on Caldera Street	49	95	Yes	20
115-kV Segment VIG2	Residence on SR-74	37	97	Yes	22
115-kV Segment VIG3	Residence on Conard Avenue	20	103	Yes	28
115-kV Segment VIG4	Residence on Baker Street	77	91	Yes	16
115-kV Segment VIG5	Residence on Lake Street, near Temescal Canyon Road	310	79	Yes	4
115-kV Segment VIG6	Residence on Horse Thief Canyon Road	405	76	Yes	1
115-kV Segment VIG7	Residence on De Palma Road	31	99	Yes	24

Table 4.11-15 Valley-Ivyglen Unmitigated Project Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Noise Level at Sensitive Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
115-kV Segment VIG8	Residence north of Temescal Canyon Road (Wrangler Way)	158	85	Yes	10
<b>Blasting Sites</b>					
Segment VIG1 Blasting: Removal of 2,240 cubic yards	Residence near access road between Structures 144 and 147	47	95	Yes	20
Segment VIG2 Blasting: Removal of 400 cubic yards	Residence near Structures 212-214, 219, 221, 224, 230, and 251-253 (10 structures)	47	95	Yes	20
Segment VIG5 Blasting: Removal of 107 cubic yards	Residences near Structures 32 to 35 (4 structures)	848	69	No	N/A
Segment VIG6 Blasting: Removal of 107 cubic yards	Residences near Structures 527-530 (4 structures) on Kicking Horse Drive and Caravan Circle	1,019	68	No	N/A
Segment VIG8 Blasting: Removal of materials at each underground vault location	Residences near each underground vault location	172	83	Yes	8
<b>Staging Areas</b>					
Staging Area VIG2	Residence east of Menifee Road (N. Bay Lane), multiple units	996	58	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG3	Residence on Etanac Road	86	79	Yes	4
Staging Area VIG4	Residence on Festus Circle	282	69	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG5	Residence across SR-74 on Carla Court, multiple units	179	73	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG6	Riverside Recovery Resources (Collier Avenue with Third Street)	585	63	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG8	Elsinore Valley Cemetery	1011	58	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG9	Residence on Horse Thief Canyon Road	130	76	Yes	1
Staging Area VIG10	Residence on Rouse Road	1410	55	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG11	Residence on El Toro Cut Off Road	986	58	No	N/A
Staging Area VIG12	Residential Building Ardenwood Way	122	76	Yes	1
Staging Area VIG13	Educations Buildings on	71	81	Yes	6

Table 4.11-15 Valley-Ivyglen Unmitigated Project Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Noise Level at Sensitive Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
	Education Way				

Sources: E & E 2015b, SCE 2014, FHWA 2006.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

kV = kilovolt

Noise in any one work location along the 115-kV Segments would be short term; however, as shown in Table 4.11-15, there would be a substantial temporary increase in noise (i.e. 10 dBA above ambient noise levels) at nearest sensitive receptors identified along all 115-kV Segments, at three blasting sites, and at Staging Areas VIG3, VIG9, VIG12 and VIG13.

Increases would be greater where blasting would occur, which could be along 115-kV Segments VIG1, VIG2, VIG5, VIG6, and VIG8. Blasting would result in high instantaneous noise levels of 94 dBA at 50 feet per blasting event (FHWA 2006). The nearest sensitive receptors to blasting sites are located at 6547 feet from ~~segment segments VIG1 and VIG2~~, resulting in levels significantly above ambient noise levels during blasting events. MM NV-2 would require the applicant to implement control measures that would reduce potential groundborne vibration and associated noise effects from blasting. However, implementation of this mitigation measure would ~~not~~ reduce noise levels to less than 10 dBA above ambient noise levels. Although blasting events would be short-term and infrequent, impacts on ambient noise levels would be significant and unavoidable for this specific activity.

The applicant would use light-duty helicopters during installation of 115-kV Segments VIG1 and VIG4 to VIG7. In general, helicopter operations (including takeoff and landing) would be limited to areas in proximity to wire stringing sites or access roads and previously disturbed areas near construction sites within the 115-kV Valley-Ivyglen General Disturbance Area, or the fueling, takeoff, and landing areas. The applicant would use a small single-rotor helicopter such as the Hughes 500C. This type of helicopter produces a maximum noise emission level of 80.7 dBA measured directly under the flight path and 82.3 dBA measured at 150 meters (45.7 feet) from the flight path<sup>5</sup> (FAA 1977). The proposed helicopter takeoff and landing areas would be limited to established helicopter landing areas, such as Skylark Field Airport, Perris Valley Airport, or the applicant's Chino Air Operations Facility at Chino Airport. While flying above residential areas, helicopter operations have the potential to create a temporary but noticeable increase above ambient noise levels; however, it is anticipated that most of the noise effects associated with helicopter used would occur at those receptors located in the vicinity of staging areas, since these areas would be use for landing and take-off at a frequent basis. Impacts would be temporary and significant and unavoidable for those sensitive receptors located along the flight paths in the proximity to staging areas.

To address potential impacts from temporary increases of ambient noise levels during construction (except blasting and helicopter use), the applicant would implement Project Commitment H, which would reduce noise at sensitive receptors located in the proximity of construction sites. Table 4.11-16 compares the estimated composite noise levels from the proposed subtransmission line construction activities with and without implementation of Project Commitment H.

<sup>5</sup> Maximum noise emission levels reported by the Federal Aviation Administration during a Hughes 500C helicopter level flyover at 500 feet and 130 miles per hour.

Table 4.11-16 Valley-Ivyglen Project Construction Noise Scenarios (excluding blasting and helicopter use)

Construction Scenario	Estimated Construction Noise (dBA, Leq) at different receptor distances (feet)								
	20	40	50	100	200	400	800	1000	1500
Unmitigated Construction	102	96	94	88	82	76	69	68	64
With Project Commitment H	94	88	86	80	74	68	61	60	56
Threshold	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Exceeds threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Note: Implementation of Project Commitment H may lead to the following noise reduction factors if the following are used as noise barriers:

- Mufflers and engine shrouds in combustion engines: 8 dBA<sup>6</sup>;
- Sound walls and noise reduction blankets surrounding equipment: 5 dBA<sup>7</sup>; and
- Enclosures in small stationary equipment: 8 dBA<sup>8</sup>.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

Leq = Sound Equivalent Level

1  
2 Temporary significant increases in ambient noise levels for those receptors located within about 200 feet  
3 from construction would still occur after implementation of Project Commitment H. MM NV-1 would  
4 require the applicant to implement noise control measures to reduce construction noise to levels below 75  
5 dBA at those receptors located within 50 feet from work areas. Implementation of MM NV-1 would  
6 further reduce short-term significant increases of ambient noise levels, but not to a level of less than  
7 significant. Construction of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would have significant and unavoidable  
8 impacts from short-term increases to ambient noise levels.  
9

### 10 **Operation and Maintenance**

11 Operation of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project would not result in any short-term increases to ambient  
12 noise levels. However, maintenance activities would have similar impacts on short-term increases to  
13 ambient noise levels as construction activities. Although maintenance activities would occur infrequently,  
14 impacts would be significant and unavoidable after the implementation of MM NV-1.  
15

### 16 **Mitigation Measure**

17 **MM NV-1 Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction Measures.**

18  
19 **MM NV-2 Blasting Vibration Control Measures.**

20  
21 **Impact NV-5 (VIG): Exposure of people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise**  
22 **levels within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been**  
23 **adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport.**  
24 **LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT**  
25

26 Perris Valley Airport is a public use facility located approximately 1.5 miles north of 115-kV Segment  
27 VIG1. Construction and maintenance activities would be temporary in this location. This location is also  
28 1.5 miles from the airport, which falls in Compatibility Zone E in the Riverside County Airport Land Use

<sup>6</sup> Minimal transmission loss (decibels) reported for reactive silencers or mufflers in industrial applications (Ray 2013).

<sup>7</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from heavy vinyl noise curtain materials (FHWA 2006).

<sup>8</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from noise sources completely enclosed, or completely shielded with a solid barrier located closed to the source.

1 Compatibility Plan for the Perris Valley Airport. Noise contours reflecting the ultimate activity levels on  
2 an average day for Compatibility Zone E indicate a noise level of 55 dB CNEL (RCALUC 2004). Finally,  
3 the airport is primarily used by lighter aircraft, which emit less noise. Therefore, the proposed Valley-  
4 Ivyglen Project would not expose workers to excessive noise levels from nearby airport operations.  
5 Impacts would be less than significant under this criterion.

6  
7 **Impact NV-6 (VIG): Exposure of people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise**  
8 **levels within the vicinity of a private airstrip.**  
9 *NO IMPACT*

10  
11 There are no private airports within 2 miles of the proposed Valley-Ivyglen Project. The proposed  
12 Valley-Ivyglen Project would have no impacts related to private airstrips.

#### 13 **4.11.5 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures (Alberhill Project)**

##### 14 **4.11.5.1 Project Commitments (Alberhill Project)**

15  
16  
17 The applicant has committed to the following as part of the design of the proposed Alberhill Project. See  
18 Section 2.6, "Project Commitments," for a complete description of each project commitment.

##### 19 **Project Commitment H: Noise Control**

- 20
- 21 • ~~All construction and general maintenance activities, except in an emergency or within enclosed~~  
22 ~~structures which reduce the noise to less than significant, shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m.~~  
23 ~~to 7:00 p.m. and prohibited on Sundays and all legally proclaimed holidays recognized by local~~  
24 ~~jurisdictions. In the event, If the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and/or~~  
25 ~~Caltrans require that construction activities are necessary conductor stringing over freeways or~~  
26 ~~highways occur after 7:00 p.m., or on days or hours outside of what is specified by the local~~  
27 ~~ordinance a Sunday, SCE would provide advance notification, including a general description of~~  
28 ~~the work to be performed, location and hours of construction anticipated, to the CPUC, the local~~  
29 ~~jurisdiction, and residents within 300 feet of the anticipated work. obtain variances from all~~  
30 ~~applicable jurisdictions.~~
  - 31 • Construction equipment shall use noise reduction features (e.g., mufflers and engine shrouds) that  
32 are no less effective than those originally installed by the manufacturer.
  - 33 • Construction traffic shall be routed away from residences and schools where feasible.
  - 34 • Unnecessary construction vehicle use and idling time shall be minimized to the extent feasible.  
35 The ability to limit construction vehicle idling time is dependent upon the sequence of  
36 construction activities and when and where vehicles are needed or staged. A "common sense"  
37 approach to vehicle use shall be applied; if a vehicle is not required for use immediately or  
38 continuously for construction activities, its engine should be shut off. Note: certain equipment,  
39 such as large diesel-powered vehicles require extended idling for warm-up and repetitive  
40 construction tasks.
  - 41 • The Applicant will notify all receptors within ~~300~~500 feet of construction of the potential to  
42 experience significant noise levels during construction.
  - 43 • During construction, the Applicant will use a temporary noise barrier between the construction  
44 area and the residences ~~sound walls, noise reduction blankets, or other noise reduction measures~~  
45 ~~prior to developing the project site~~ in areas where sensitive receptors would be subjected to  
46 significant noise impacts.

- The applicant would shield small stationary equipment with portable barriers within 100 feet of residences, where feasible.
- The applicant would minimize engine idling and turn off engines when not in use.

#### 4.11.5.2 Overview of Alberhill Project Construction and Operations Impacts

#### 4.11.5.3 Impacts Analysis (Alberhill Project)

**Impact NV-1 (ASP): Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.**

*LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION*

The proposed Alberhill Project would occur in the cities of Lake Elsinore, Wildomar and Menifee, and in portions of unincorporated Riverside County. For the purposes of analyzing noise impacts, the jurisdiction of the closest sensitive receptor was used to be most conservative.

#### **Construction**

Construction of the proposed Alberhill Substation, transmission lines, subtransmission line segments and telecommunication components would be temporary, occurring over a total period of 28 months (transmission, subtransmission and telecommunication lines installation would occur in ~~17~~2-month periods). The Alberhill Project construction activities would cause noise on a temporary basis at every proposed location, primarily from on-road heavy construction equipment, grading and foundation installation, helicopter use for wire stringing operations in the 500-kV transmission line, vehicles for worker commute, trucks needed to bring materials to the construction sites, and wire stringing operations and telecommunication installation. The overhead telecommunication line construction would also require the use of bucket truck and several crew trucks.

#### *Alberhill Substation, 500-kV Transmission Lines, 115-kV Segments ASP1 through ASP2; Staging Areas ASP1 and ASP3*

The Alberhill Substation, 500-kV transmission lines, and 115-kV Segments ASP1 through ASP2 (partial) would be located in unincorporated Riverside County. Riverside County exempts private construction projects from the general sound level standards as long as they are located 0.25 miles or more from an inhabited dwelling. For project construction occurring within 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling, the County exempts construction noise from the general sound level standards as long as they occur between 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June through September and between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from October through May. When construction activities would take place outside of allowable hours, noise levels should comply with the Riverside County general sound level standards and result in a significant impact, unless a construction-related exception is filed. Project Commitment H would limit construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. require the applicant to and obtain variances from all applicable jurisdictions when construction work would occur outside allowed hours. Section 7 of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 847 provides construction-related exceptions for activities subject to the general sound level standards. Implementation of Commitment H would reduce impacts to less than significant.

#### *115-kV Segments ASP2 through ASP4, Staging Area ASP2, ASP4*

115-kV Segments ASP2 (partial through ASP4 and Staging Areas ASP2 and ASP4) would be located in Lake Elsinore. Construction is prohibited in Lake Elsinore on weekdays between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. or at any time on weekends and holidays. Further, the city requires mitigating noise to

1 certain noise levels where feasible, as described in Table 4.11-6. The predicted noise levels at the nearest  
2 sensitive receptors to these project segments are listed in Table 4.11-17.

3 **Table 4.11-17 Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors in Lake Elsinore (Alberhill Project)**

Project Component	Closest Receptor	Receptor Distance (feet)	Predicted Noise Level (dBA)	Lake Elsinore Noise Standard	Exceeds standard?
115-kV Segment ASP2	Residences on Baker Street	37	89	75	Yes
115-kV Segment ASP3	Residences on Collier Avenue and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	181	75	75	No
115-kV Segment ASP4	Residences on E. Hill Street, E. Pottery Street, Casino Drive, Malaga Road, and Mission Trail	20	94	75	Yes
Staging Area ASP2	None identified (within 0.25-mile radius)	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
Staging Area ASP8	Closest residence to staging area	531	65	75	No

Key:  
dBA = A-weighted decibel  
kV = kilovolt

4  
5 As shown in Table 4.11-17, the Lake Elsinore numeric standards would be exceeded in several locations  
6 during construction. Construction may take place outside of allowed times and may exceed the numeric  
7 thresholds, causing a significant impact. Project Commitment H would limit construction activities from  
8 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Implementation of Project Commitment H ~~may~~would lead to the following noise  
9 reduction factors if the following are used as noise barriers:

- 10 • Mufflers and engine shrouds in combustion engines: 8 dBA<sup>9</sup>;
- 11 • Sound walls and noise reduction blankets surrounding equipment: 5 dBA<sup>10</sup>; and
- 12 • Enclosures in small stationary equipment: 8 dBA<sup>11</sup>.

13  
14 Cumulatively, noise would be reduced by about 8 dBA due to implementation of Project Commitment H.  
15 Project Commitment H would reduce impacts related to the timing of construction to less than significant.  
16 However, impacts related to the noise level would remain significant since the highest noise level  
17 generated would be 78 dBA. MM NV-1 would require the applicant to implement noise-reduction  
18 strategies, such as limiting heavy-duty equipment use duration and reducing the number of pieces of  
19 equipment operating concurrently to reduce noise to that required under Lake Elsinore’s construction  
20 noise ordinance or to the extent technologically and economically feasible. Implementation of MM NV-1  
21 would reduce noise to levels that are in compliance with the noise ordinance. Impacts on noise standards  
22 in Lake Elsinore would be less than significant after mitigation.

23  
24 **115-kV Segment ASP5; Staging Areas ASP5 and ASP6**

25  
26 115-kV Segment ASP5 would be constructed in Wildomar; Staging Areas ASP5 and ASP6 would be  
27 located in Wildomar. Wildomar applies Riverside County’s noise ordinance, as previously described.

<sup>9</sup> Minimal transmission loss (decibels) reported for reactive silencers or mufflers in industrial applications (Ray 2013).  
<sup>10</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from heavy vinyl noise curtain materials (FHWA 2006).  
<sup>11</sup> Value recommended by FHWA for calculating shielding from noise sources completely enclosed, or completely shielded with a solid barrier located closed to the source.

1 Riverside County exempts private construction projects from the general sound level standards as long as  
2 they are located 0.25 miles or more from an inhabited dwelling. For project construction occurring within  
3 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling, the County exempts construction noise from the general sound level  
4 standards as long as they occur between 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June through September and  
5 between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from October through May. When construction activities would take  
6 place outside of allowable hours, noise levels should comply with the Riverside County general sound  
7 level standards and result in a significant impact, unless a construction-related exception is filed. Project  
8 Commitment H would limit construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and require the applicant  
9 to obtain variances from all applicable jurisdictions when construction work would occur outside allowed  
10 hours. Section 7 of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 847 provides construction-related exceptions for  
11 activities subject to the general sound level standards. Implementation of Commitment H would reduce  
12 impacts to less than significant.

13  
14 *115-kV Segment ASP6 through ASP8, Fiber Optic Lines on 115-kV Segments ASP6 through*  
15 *ASP8, and Staging Area ASP7*

16 115-kV Segments ASP6 through ASP8 and fiber optic lines on 115-kV Segments ASP1 and ASP5  
17 through ASP7 and Staging Area ASP7 would be located in the City of Menifee. The City of Menifee  
18 Municipal Code exempts construction activities that occur more than 0.25 miles from an inhabited  
19 dwelling or if construction occurs within 0.25 miles of an inhabited dwelling and construction does not  
20 occur between 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. from June through September and between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00  
21 a.m. from October through May. Also, Section 9.09.030 of the City of Menifee Municipal Code allows  
22 for construction-related exceptions. Project Commitment H would limit construction activities from 7:00  
23 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and require the applicant to obtain variances from all applicable jurisdictions when  
24 construction works would occur outside allowed hours. This would reduce impacts to less than  
25 significant.

26  
27 *Serrano Substation*

28 The proposed installation of microwave telecommunications dish antennas and telecommunications  
29 equipment at the existing Serrano Substation would occur within the City of Orange. The City of Orange  
30 Municipal Code exempts construction from exterior noise standards if it occurs between 7:00 a.m. and  
31 8:00 p.m. on days other than Sundays or federal holidays. All other noise is subject to standards outlined  
32 in Table 4.11-12. Project Commitment H would limit construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.  
33 Impacts on noise standards in the City of Orange would be less than significant.

34  
35 *Santiago Peak Communication Site*

36 The proposed installation of microwave telecommunications dish antennas at the existing Santiago Peak  
37 Communication Site would occur in the Cleveland National Forest, under the United States Forest  
38 Service's (USFS's) jurisdiction. The USFS enforces a maximum level of 101 dBA for activities not  
39 related to project construction. However, it is anticipated that noise from equipment used for microwave  
40 dish antenna installation would be in the range of 85 dBA, below the USFS enforceable standard. Impacts  
41 on noise standards in the Cleveland National Forest would be less than significant.

42  
43 ***Operation and Maintenance***

44 *Alberhill Substation*

45 Operation of the Alberhill Substation would create noise due to equipment running at the substation.  
46 Continuous operation of the Alberhill Substation would also increase ambient noise levels as a result of  
47 transformer "hum" and cooling fan noise. A noise modeling study conducted for the project predicts that  
48 the noise contribution from the two 500/115-kV 560 megavolt-ampere (MVA) transformers would be

1 about 94 dBA at a distance of 3 feet from the transformer, being perceived at a level of 38 dBA at the  
2 closest sensitive receptor. The substation perimeter wall surrounding the transformer and switching  
3 equipment would attenuate noise by 10 dBA. Therefore, projected operational noise levels for the  
4 proposed substation would not exceed the nighttime worst-case levels set by ~~Policy N4.1 of the Noise~~  
5 ~~Element of the Riverside County Noise Ordinance 847 for LI (light industrial) properties (55~~ General Plan  
6 ~~(45 dBA  $L_{max}$ -10-minute  $L_{eq}$ ).~~ With the proposed 2-transformer configuration, potential impacts from  
7 operational noise at the proposed Alberhill Substation would be less than significant.  
8

9 Future expansion to a 1,680 MVA substation could occur at some future date depending on need, as  
10 described in Chapter 2, "Project Description." Such expansion would require one additional transformer  
11 for a total of three. The addition of one identical source of noise have the potential to result in cumulative  
12 noise levels above the nighttime levels set by ~~Policy N4.1 of the Noise Element of the Riverside County~~  
13 ~~Noise Ordinance 847 (55~~ General Plan ~~(45 dBA).~~ ~~Potential~~ With implementation of MM NV 3, potential  
14 impacts from operational noise at the expanded Alberhill Substation would be ~~reduced to~~ less than  
15 significant.  
16

### 17 *500-kV Transmission Lines*

18 The 500-kV transmission lines would emit corona noise during operation. Audible noise from the 500-kV  
19 lines at the edge of the ROW would be in the range of 54 to 61 dBA during wet weather conditions, and  
20 48 to 49 dBA during fair weather conditions. The 500-kV line would be outfitted with polymer insulators  
21 that minimize the accumulation of surface contaminants and therefore reduce the potential for corona  
22 noise to be generated at the insulators. Actual corona noise reduction levels from the use of this insulation  
23 equipment are unknown.  
24

25 Riverside County Noise Ordinance 847 states: "No person shall create any sound, or allow the creation of  
26 any sound, on any property that causes the exterior sound level on any other occupied property to exceed  
27 the sound level standards set forth in Table 1." Table 1 lists a max db level of 55 for LI properties  
28 between 10 pm and 7 am. After construction, the ~~The~~ closest receptor is over 300~~23~~ feet from the  
29 location of Transmission Line VA right-of-way, at which distance, and there is a potential for the audible  
30 noise levels would not~~to~~ exceed the nighttime standard set by Policy N4.1 of the Noise Element of the  
31 Riverside County General Plan. The policy prohibits facility related noise levels, received by any  
32 sensitive use, from exceeding a standard of 45 dBA-10-minute  $L_{eq}$  between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. This  
33 would be a significant impact. MM NV 4 would require the applicant to use additional insulation  
34 equipment to reduce corona noise to levels in compliance with Riverside County Noise Ordinance  
35 847 ~~General Plan Policy N4.1.~~ Impacts on noise standards from the operation of the 500-kV transmission  
36 lines would be less than significant ~~with implementation of MM NV 4.~~  
37

### 38 *115-kV Subtransmission Line*

39 Audible noise levels from the 115-kV subtransmission line segments are expected to be relatively low,  
40 generally less than 34 dBA in rainy condition directly below the conductor. Corona noise would not be  
41 perceptible against applicable stationary source noise standards in Riverside County (55 dBA  $L_{max}$  from  
42 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) and City of Menifee (45 dBA-10-minute  $L_{eq}$  from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.). In  
43 addition, noise from vehicles used during routine maintenance activities would be infrequent would not  
44 result in a noticeable increase in noise.

45 ~~Noise from these sources would be limited and short term, but have the potential to exceed noise~~  
46 ~~standards in the City of Lake Elsinore, for those activities occurring within 50 feet from sensitive~~  
47 ~~receptors. Implementation of MM NV 1 would reduce noise from maintenance activities to levels that are~~  
48 ~~in compliance with the applicable noise ordinances.~~ Therefore, operation and maintenance noise impacts  
49 would be less than significant after mitigation.  
50

1 *Serrano Substation and Santiago Peak Communications Site*

2 Operation of the proposed microwave dish antennas at the existing Serrano Substation and Santiago Peak  
3 Communication site would generate minimal noise, as this type of equipment generally produces very  
4 little background noise in the air compared to those used in terrestrial communications. The City of  
5 Orange establishes a maximum exterior noise standard of 70 dBA between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and  
6 65 dBA from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The Cleveland National Forest enforces a noise limit of 100 dBA  
7 within its jurisdiction. Operation of the proposed microwave antennas would be below limits established  
8 by the City of Orange and Forest Service. Therefore, noise from microwave dish antennas would not  
9 result in exposure to persons or generation of noise above applicable standards. Impacts would be less  
10 than significant.

11  
12 **Mitigation Measures**

13 **MM NV-1 Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction Measures.**

14  
15 ~~**MM NV-3 Low Noise Substation Equipment and Noise Barriers.** The applicant shall ensure that the~~  
16 ~~Alberhill Substation operational noise levels will not exceed 45 dBA 10-minute  $L_{eq}$  at the closest~~  
17 ~~sensitive receptor, as specified in Riverside County General Plan Policy N4.1. This shall be achieved~~  
18 ~~either through use of low noise substation equipment or installation of noise barriers or both. The~~  
19 ~~applicant shall conduct monitoring and reporting of operational noise levels at the substation according to~~  
20 ~~the specifications in the Riverside County General Plan Appendix I and the Riverside County Department~~  
21 ~~of Public Health "Requirement for Determining and Mitigating Non-Transportation Noise Source Impacts~~  
22 ~~to Residential Properties."~~

23  
24 ~~**MM NV-4 Corona Noise Reduction Insulators.** The applicant shall ensure that the Alberhill System~~  
25 ~~500-kV transmission line corona audible noise levels will not exceed 45 dBA 10-minute  $L_{eq}$  at the closest~~  
26 ~~sensitive receptor, as specified in Riverside County General Plan Policy N4.1. This shall be achieved by~~  
27 ~~the use of additional insulation equipment and additional technological solutions to reduce corona noise~~  
28 ~~levels during rainy and fair weather conditions. To verify the efficiency of the corona noise reduction~~  
29 ~~equipment, the applicant will measure operational noise levels at the closest sensitive residential receptors~~  
30 ~~from the Alberhill Substation during three rain events during the first two rainy seasons when the~~  
31 ~~substation is operating. Monitoring reports shall indicate the existing ambient noise levels and weather~~  
32 ~~conditions during measurements. The applicant shall conduct noise level measurements in compliance~~  
33 ~~with the County of Riverside requirements, as applicable. The applicant will submit results of the~~  
34 ~~monitoring to the CPUC annually. If the monitoring reports determine that the corona noise levels exceed~~  
35 ~~45 dBA at sensitive residential receptors, the applicant will implement additional technological solutions~~  
36 ~~and installation equipment and will repeat the measuring of operational noise levels at the closest~~  
37 ~~sensitive residential receptors from the Alberhill Substation during three rain events during the~~  
38 ~~subsequent two rainy seasons, until the 45 dBA threshold is no longer exceeded during rain events.~~

39  
40 **Impact NV-2 (ASP): Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or**  
41 **groundborne noise levels.**

42 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT*

43  
44 **Construction**

45 Construction of the proposed Alberhill Project would create perceptible groundborne vibration from use  
46 of heavy-duty construction equipment (e.g., trucks, backhoes, excavators, loaders, and cranes), the  
47 tamping or compacting of ground surfaces, the passing of trucks on uneven surfaces, and the excavation  
48 of trenches.

1 Vehicle and heavy duty truck use during the proposed Alberhill Project construction would generate a  
2 continuous but relatively low level of vibration. Typical vibration levels generated by construction  
3 equipment are shown in Table 4.11-18.  
4

Table 4.11-18 Vibration levels from typical construction equipment (Alberhill Project)

Vibration Source	Reported Vibration Level at 25 feet (VdB)	Estimated Vibration Level at 50 feet (VdB)
Large bulldozer	87	78
Loaded trucks	86	77
Jackhammer	79	70
Small bulldozer	58	49
Criteria of residential annoyance for infrequent vibration events (FTA)	80	80
Exceeds threshold?	Yes	No

Sources: FTA 2006.

Key:

FTA = Federal Transit Administration

VdB = decibels of vibration velocity

5  
6 As shown in Table 4.11-18, the estimated groundborne vibration levels from construction equipment  
7 would be below the FTA criteria for residential annoyance at receptors located at a minimum distance of  
8 50 feet from heavy duty equipment. Certain residential receptors in Lake Elsinore, Wildomar, Menfief,  
9 and unincorporated Riverside County would be within 25 feet of construction sites, as shown in Table  
10 4.11-3, resulting in the potential to exceed thresholds of groundborne vibration at sensitive receptors.  
11 Construction in these areas would occur during daytime hours, when residences are least sensitive.  
12 Construction in these areas would also be temporary, and vibration would be intermittent. Vibration  
13 impacts during construction would be less than significant.  
14

### 15 **Operation and Maintenance**

16 Operation and maintenance activities would involve routine maintenance and emergency repairs. These  
17 activities would generate minimal groundborne vibration through use of trucks and potentially heavy  
18 equipment. Maintenance activities would be infrequent and temporary. Impacts would be less than  
19 significant.  
20

21 **Impact NV-3 (ASP): Substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity**  
22 **above levels existing without the project.**  
23 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT*  
24

25 A substantial noise increase is defined as 10 dBA for the sake of this analysis because an increase of 10  
26 dBA is perceived as a doubling in loudness. The average ambient noise level in the project area is 65  
27 dBA. An increase would therefore be substantial if it increased ambient noise levels to 75 dBA.  
28

### 29 **Construction**

30 Construction of the proposed Alberhill Project would be temporary (a maximum period of 28 months)  
31 and would be transient along the transmission and subtransmission line segments. Construction noise  
32 would not be permanent and therefore would not cause a permanent increase in ambient noise levels in  
33 the project vicinity. Construction of the proposed Alberhill Project would have no impact under this  
34 criterion.  
35

1 **Operation and Maintenance**

2 *Alberhill Substation*

3 Operation of the Alberhill Substation would create noise due to equipment running at the substation.  
4 Continuous operation of the Alberhill Substation would also increase ambient noise levels as a result of  
5 transformer “hum” and cooling fan noise. A noise modeling study conducted for the project predicts that  
6 the noise contribution from the two 500/115-kV 560 MVA transformers would be about 94 dBA at a  
7 distance of 3 feet from the transformer and at the closest sensitive receptor would be perceived at a  
8 level of 38 dBA. The substation perimeter wall surrounding the transformer and switching equipment  
9 would attenuate noise by 10 dBA. A noise level of 38 dBA would not be perceptible against the existing  
10 noise environment, which is about 60 dBA in the vicinity of the Alberhill Substation. Operation of the  
11 proposed Alberhill Substation would have a less than significant impact on permanent ambient noise  
12 levels.

13  
14 *500-kV Transmission Lines*

15 The 500-kV transmission lines would emit corona noise during operation. Audible noise from the 500-kV  
16 lines at the edge of the ROW would be in the range of 54 to 61 dBA during wet weather conditions, and  
17 48 to 49 dBA during fair weather conditions. The 500-kV line would be outfitted with polymer insulators  
18 that minimize the accumulation of surface contaminants and therefore reduce the potential for corona  
19 noise to be generated at the insulators; however, the actual corona noise reduction levels from the use of  
20 this insulation equipment are unknown. The nearest sensitive receptor to the proposed 500-kV  
21 transmission VA Line would be located 23 feet from the ROW. Near this location, daytime ambient noise  
22 levels range from 60 to 67 dBA, and from 50 to 55 dBA during nighttime hours (Table 4.11-1). As  
23 explained above, a substantial noise increase is generally defined as 10 dBA or higher. Audible noise  
24 from the 500-kV transmission VA Line would be perceived at the nearest receptor during wet weather  
25 conditions; however, the estimated increase over existing ambient noise levels would be below 10 dBA.  
26 Operation of the proposed 500-kV lines would have a less than significant impact on permanent ambient  
27 noise levels.

28  
29 *115-kV Subtransmission Lines*

30 The proposed project would generate corona noise during operation. Corona noise associated with  
31 operation of the 115-kV subtransmission line segments is not anticipated to be generally audible in the  
32 Alberhill Project vicinity. Corona noise levels would be approximately 34 dBA directly below the  
33 conductor. Existing noise levels in the project area range from 60 to 66 dBA. Corona noise would not be  
34 perceptible against the higher ambient noise levels. In addition, noise from vehicles used during routine  
35 maintenance activities would be infrequent and would not result in a permanent increase to ambient noise  
36 levels. Operation of the proposed 115-kV subtransmission lines would have a less than significant impact  
37 on permanent ambient noise levels.

38  
39 *Serrano Substation and Santiago Peak Communications Site*

40 Operation of the proposed microwave dish antennas at the existing Serrano Substation and Santiago Peak  
41 Communication site would generate minimal noise, as this type of equipment generally produces very  
42 little background noise in the air compared to those used in terrestrial communications. Therefore, noise  
43 from microwave dish antennas would not result in a noticeable increase in noise. Operation of the  
44 microwave dish antennas would have a less than significant impact on permanent ambient noise levels.

45  
46 Noise from vehicles and equipment used during routine maintenance activities would be infrequent and  
47 would not result in a permanent increase to ambient noise levels.  
48

**Impact NV-4 (ASP): Substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.**  
*SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE*

A substantial noise increase is defined as 10 dBA for the sake of this analysis because an increase of 10 dBA is perceived as a doubling in loudness. The average ambient noise level in the project area is 65 dBA. An increase would therefore be substantial if it increased ambient noise levels to 75 dBA.

**Construction**

Noise generated from construction equipment and vehicle and helicopter use would result in temporary contributions to the ambient noise levels in the project vicinity during the overall 28-month construction period. As shown in Tables 4.11-19, potential noise levels during the proposed Alberhill Project's construction would exceed reported ambient noise levels presented in Table 4.11-1 at closest sensitive receptors.

Table 4.11-19 Alberhill Project Unmitigated Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors<sup>a</sup>

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Construction Noise Levels at Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
<b><i>Alberhill Substation</i></b>					
42.9 acres within a 124-acre property	Closest residence to proposed substation site	1,126	65	No	N/A
	Closest residence to substation transformers' bank location	2,874	57	No	N/A
	Closest residence to proposed borrow site (Soil Import Option 1)	1,200	59	No	N/A
	Closest residence to soil haul truck route (Soil Import Option 2)	3,125	44	No	N/A
<b><i>500-kV Transmission Lines</i></b>					
Line SA 1.6 miles long, 200-foot wide ROW	Closest residence to ROW	338	71	Yes	12
	Closest residence to Tower SA-1	1,197	60	No	N/A
	Closest residence to Tower SA-2	900	63	No	N/A
	Closest residence to Tower SA-3	691	65	No	N/A
	Closest residence to Tower SA-4	2,096	56	No	N/A
Line VA 1.7 miles long, 200-foot wide ROW	Closest residence to ROW	23	95	Yes	20
	Closest residence to Tower VA-1	1,110	61	No	N/A
	Closest residence to Tower VA-2	736	65	No	N/A
	Closest residence to Tower VA-3	668	65	No	N/A
	Closest residence to	2,132	55	No	N/A

Table 4.11-19 Alberhill Project Unmitigated Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors<sup>a</sup>

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Construction Noise Levels at Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
	Tower VA-4				
VA2 Access Road	Closest residence	322	72	No	N/A
VA3 Access Road	Closest residence	266	73	No	N/A
<b>Potential Helicopter Platform Locations (500-kV transmission Line Helicopter Construction Method)</b>					
Helipad 1	Residence northeast of Black Powder Road (northeast of proposed Alberhill Substation site)	704	59	No	N/A
Helipad 2	Same as Helipad 1	567	61	No	N/A
Helipad 3	Same as Helipad 1	1,978	50	No	N/A
Helipad 4	Same as Helipad 1	2,020	50	No	N/A
Helipad 5	Same as Helipad 1	3,410	45	No	N/A
<b>Subtransmission Lines</b>					
115-kV Segments ASP1 and ASP1.5	Residence on Hostettler Road	813	62	No	N/A
115-kV Segment ASP2	Residences on Baker Street	37	89	Yes	14
115-kV Segment ASP3	Residences on Collier Avenue and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	181	75	No	N/A
115-kV Segment ASP4	Residences on E Hill Street, E Pottery Street, Casino Drive, Malaga Road, and Mission Trail	20	94	Yes	19
115-kV Segment ASP5	Residences on Waite Street, Lemon Street, Lost Road, Beverly Street, and Bundy Canyon Road	35	89	Yes	14
115-kV Segment ASP6	Residences on Murrieta Road	55	85	Yes	10
115-kV Segment ASP7	Residences on Murrieta Road (near Newcomb Substation)	398	68	No	N/A
115-kV Segment ASP8	Residences Murrieta Road (with McLaughlin Road)	20	94	Yes	19
<b>Telecommunications</b>					
New microwave tower at Alberhill Substation	Closest residence to substation property line	1,126	59	No	N/A
New fiber optic telecommunication line installed on 115-kV Segments ASP1, ASP 1.5, ASP5, ASP6, and ASP7	Residences near to underground construction sites	20	94	Yes	19
New microwave dishes	Residence close to Serrano Substation	753	62	No	N/A

Table 4.11-19 Alberhill Project Unmitigated Construction Noise Levels at Closest Sensitive Receptors<sup>a</sup>

Project Component	Closest Sensitive Receptor	Distance from Project Component (feet)	Predicted Construction Noise Levels at Receptor (dBA)	Exceeds threshold (75 dBA)?	Amount Exceeded (dBA)
	Santiago Peak Communication Site	>100	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Staging Areas</b>					
Staging Area ASP1	Residence on Concordia Property	1356	55	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP2	None identified (within 0.25-mile radius)	None	N/A	NA	N/A
Staging Area ASP3	Closest residence to staging area	237	70	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP4	Closest residence to staging area	338	67	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP5	Mission Valley Medical School	370	67	No	N/A
	Closest residence to staging area	365	67	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP6	Closest residence to staging area	655	62	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP7	Closest residence to staging area	531	63	No	N/A
Staging Area ASP8	Closest residence to staging area	237	70	No	N/A

Sources: E & E 2015b, SCE 2011b, FHWA 2006.

Note:

a Construction noise at staging areas assumes helicopter landing and takeoff as the main source of noise.

Key:

dBA = A-weighted decibel

kV = kilovolt

NA = not applicable

1

2 **Alberhill Substation**

3 Construction activities at the Alberhill Substation property would generate noise of up to 63 dBA (under  
4 either soil import option) at the closest residence to the proposed substation site, as shown in Table 4.11-  
5 19. This would not be a substantial increase in noise and at many times would not be perceptible against  
6 the existing noise environment. Construction of the proposed Alberhill Substation would have a less than  
7 significant impact on temporary ambient noise levels.

8

9 **500-kV Transmission Lines**

10 Construction of the 500-kV transmission lines would generate noise of up to 95 dBA at the closest  
11 sensitive receptor, as shown in Table 4.11-20. This would be a substantial temporary increase in ambient  
12 noise levels at the sensitive receptor, which would result in a significant impact. To address potential  
13 impacts from temporary increases of ambient noise levels during construction, the applicant would  
14 implement Project Commitment H, which would reduce noise at sensitive receptors located in the  
15 proximity of construction sites.

16

1

Table 4.11-20 Alberhill 500-kV Transmission Lines Project Construction Noise Scenarios

Construction Scenario	Estimated Construction Noise (dBA, Leq) at Different Receptor Distances (feet)								
	20	40	50	100	200	400	800	1000	1500
Unmitigated Construction	103	97	95	89	83	77	71	69	65
With Project Commitment H	95	89	87	81	75	69	63	61	57
Threshold	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Exceeds threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Key:  
dBA = A-weighted decibel  
kV = kilovolt  
Leq = Sound Equivalent Level

2

3 Temporary significant increases in ambient noise levels for those receptors located within about 200 feet  
4 from construction along the 500-kV lines would still occur after implementation of Project Commitment  
5 H. MM NV-1 would require the applicant to implement noise control measures during construction, such  
6 as limiting timeframes for use of heavy-duty equipment and reducing the number of pieces of equipment  
7 operating concurrently. Implementation of MM NV-1 would further reduce short-term significant  
8 increases of ambient noise levels, but not to a level of less than significant.

9

10 For all sections of the 500-kV transmission lines, the applicant would also use a light-duty helicopter for  
11 *sock-line threading*—the stringing of a lightweight pilot line (a sock line) between power line structures.  
12 For the purposes of this EIR, the applicant has indicated that a small single-rotor helicopter such as the  
13 Hughes 500E (also known as 369E) would be used. This type of helicopter produces a maximum sound  
14 level of 80.7 dBA measured directly under the flight path and 82.3 dBA, at a level fly over of 500 feet  
15 and 130 mph (FAA 1977).

16

17 The applicant may use a heavy-duty helicopter to facilitate construction in lieu of constructing new access  
18 roads or where the proposed 500-kV transmission line towers would be located on terrain on which a  
19 crane could not be used or some of the required equipment and materials could not be delivered by truck  
20 (refer to Section 2.4.5.5). If a heavy-duty or medium-duty helicopter is required for construction of the  
21 proposed 500-kV transmission lines as part of the Alberhill Project because of rough terrain, the  
22 following or similar models would be used for up to five days (up to 12 hours per day or in accordance  
23 with all applicable noise ordinances):

24

- 25 • Sikorsky S64 Skycrane twin-engine heavy-lift helicopter with Pratt and Whitney T73-P-1 engines  
26 (heavy-duty); or
- 27 • Kaman K-MAX helicopter with a Lycoming T53 engine (medium-duty helicopter); or
- 28 • Hughes 500-530 helicopter.

29

30 Noise levels from the heavy-duty and medium-duty helicopters are generally higher than those reported  
31 for light-duty, single rotor helicopters. For the purposes of this EIR, noise from heavy-duty and medium-  
32 duty helicopters would be above 82.3 dBA at flyovers of 500 feet and 130 mph.

33

34 Helicopter takeoff and landing may also occur adjacent to wire stringing sites along the 500-kV  
35 transmission line routes during wire-stringing activities or for materials delivery, adjacent to tower sites  
for micropile foundation construction activities and tower erection, and at Staging Areas ASP1, ASP2,

1 and ASP3. Helicopter fueling may also occur at the proposed Alberhill Substation site or at Staging Areas  
2 ASP1 or ASP3.

3 Temporary landing areas within staging areas, at wire-stringing sites, or along the 500-kV transmission  
4 line routes would be approximately 100 feet wide by 100 feet long. The helicopter contractors selected by  
5 the applicant for construction of the proposed projects may select helicopter operations facilities or  
6 airports other than those listed in this document, which could result in the need for additional evaluation  
7 pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines. Helicopters would remain at local airports,  
8 or the applicant's or helicopter contractor's air operations facilities, at night or when not in use.  
9

10 Helicopter takeoff and landing areas would be limited to established helicopter landing areas (e.g.,  
11 facilities at Skylark Field Airport) or at Staging Areas ASP1 or ASP3. The applicant would use best  
12 management practices to minimize impacts caused by the use of helicopters including: ~~maximize using~~  
13 ~~helicopters with low emitting engines to the efficient use of extent practical; efficiently maximizing~~ flight  
14 times; designating flight paths away from residential areas; identifying sensitive receptors that might be  
15 disturbed by construction noise; and ~~providing~~ ~~proving~~ advance notice of upcoming work; ~~and obtaining~~  
16 ~~variances to local noise ordinances as required.~~ The helicopters would be used only during daylight hours  
17 consistent with applicable laws and regulations; however, helicopters would increase ambient noise levels  
18 in 10 dBA or more during landing/take-off operations at staging areas, and when flying over residential  
19 areas at a height of 500 feet. Impacts from helicopters would be temporary, but significant and  
20 unavoidable.  
21

22 **115-kV Subtransmission Lines**

23 Construction of the subtransmission lines would generate noise levels up to 86 dBA at immediately  
24 adjacent residential areas and would result in a substantial temporary increase in noise along all segments  
25 other than 115-kV Segments ASP1 and ASP1.5. As shown in Table 4.11-21, the substantial temporary  
26 increase would be significant. To address potential impacts from temporary increases of ambient noise  
27 levels during construction, the applicant would implement Project Commitment H, which would reduce  
28 noise at sensitive receptors located in the proximity of construction sites.  
29

Table 4.11-21 Alberhill 115-kV Subtransmission Lines Project Construction Noise Scenarios

Construction Scenario	Estimated Construction Noise (dBA, Leq) at Different Receptor Distances (feet)								
	20	40	50	100	200	400	800	1000	1500
Unmitigated Construction	94	88	86	80	74	68	62	60	56
With Project Commitment H	86	80	78	72	66	60	54	52	48
Threshold	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Exceeds threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No

Key:  
dBA = A-weighted decibel  
kV = kilovolt  
Leq = Sound Equivalent Level

30 Temporary significant increases in ambient noise levels for those receptors located within about 200 feet  
31 from construction along the 115-kV subtransmission lines would still occur after implementation of  
32 Project Commitment H. MM NV-1 would require the applicant to implement noise control measures  
33 during construction, such as limiting timeframes for use of heavy-duty equipment and reducing the  
34 number of pieces of equipment operating concurrently. Implementation of MM NV-1 would further  
35 reduce short-term significant increases of ambient noise levels, but not to a level of less than significant.  
36

1  
2 Helicopters would be used for stringing a portion of 115-kV Segment ASP5. Noise impacts related to  
3 helicopter use for 115-kV line stringing on 115-kV Segment ASP5 would be the same as described for the  
4 500-kV Transmission Lines. The applicant would use a small single-rotor helicopter such as the Hughes  
5 500C. This type of helicopter produces a maximum noise emission level of 80.7 dBA measured directly  
6 under the flight path and 82.3 dBA measured at 150 meters (45.7 feet) from the flight path<sup>12</sup> (FAA 1977).  
7 Impacts due to helicopter noise would be temporary, but significant and unavoidable under this criterion.

8  
9 *Telecommunications*

10 Construction of underground telecommunications components would require the use of a backhoe, which  
11 would create noise levels of 85 dBA at 50 feet, resulting in a temporary increase of approximately 20  
12 dBA above ambient noise levels at nearest sensitive receptors (residences located within 20 feet from  
13 underground construction sites). The applicant would implement Project Commitment H to reduce noise  
14 at the nearest sensitive receptors, resulting in an overall 8 dBA reduction. However, temporary increases  
15 of noise would remain above 10 dBA compared to ambient noise levels. Therefore, impacts would be  
16 significant and unavoidable under this criterion.

17  
18 *Staging Areas, Serrano Substation, and Santiago Peak Communications Site*

19 Noise impacts at staging areas, Serrano Substation, Santiago Peak Communications Site, and fiber optic  
20 lines would not result in a substantial temporary periodic increase in noise during construction, as shown  
21 in Table 4.11-19. Impacts would be less than significant.

22  
23 *Operation and Maintenance*

24 Operation of the proposed Alberhill Project would not result in any short-term increases to ambient noise  
25 levels. However, maintenance activities would have similar impacts on short-term increases to ambient  
26 noise levels as construction activities. Although maintenance activities would occur infrequently, impacts  
27 would be significant and unavoidable after the implementation of MM NV-1.

28  
29 *Mitigation Measure*

30 **MM NV-1 Construction and Maintenance Noise Reduction Measures.**

31  
32 **Impact NV-5 (ASP): Exposure of people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise**  
33 **levels within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been**  
34 **adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport.**  
35 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT*

36  
37 Perris Valley Airport is a public use facility located approximately 1.5 miles north of 115-kV Segment  
38 ASP8. Construction and maintenance activities would be temporary in this location. This location is also  
39 1.5 miles from the airport, which falls in Compatibility Zone E in the Riverside County Airport Land Use  
40 Compatibility Plan for the Perris Valley Airport. Noise contours reflecting the ultimate activity levels on  
41 an average day for Compatibility Zone E indicate a noise level of 55 dB CNEL (RCALUC 2004). Finally,  
42 the airport is primarily used by lighter aircraft, which emit less noise. Therefore, the proposed Alberhill  
43 Project would not expose workers to excessive noise levels from nearby airport operation. Impacts would  
44 be less than significant under this criterion.

45  

---

<sup>12</sup> Maximum noise emission levels reported by the Federal Aviation Administration during a Hughes 500C  
helicopter level flyover at 500 feet and 130 miles per hour.

1 **Impact NV-6 (ASP): Exposure of people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise**  
2 **levels within the vicinity of a private airstrip.**  
3 *LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT*  
4

5 Skylark Field Airport is a private airport located approximately 1,000 feet from proposed 115-kV  
6 Segments ASP4 and ASP5. This airport provides gliding and skydiving services to the community and  
7 visitors. During construction of the proposed Alberhill Project, the Skylark Field Airport would also be  
8 used as the helicopter staging and fueling area.  
9

10 Although noise from light aircraft operations at the Skylark Field Airport could exist during construction,  
11 the temporary nature of construction work along 115-kV Segment ASP4 would limit the amount of noise  
12 exposure to workers. In addition, the expected routine maintenance and emergency repair activities would  
13 only require the presence of personnel at the site on an infrequent basis. For all construction and  
14 operations and maintenance activities, it is assumed that workers would utilize the appropriate noise  
15 safety gear while at the subtransmission line sites and helicopter staging areas, in compliance with state  
16 and federal occupational health regulations.  
17

18 Given the transient nature of the construction and maintenance activities in the proximity of the Skylark  
19 Field Airport, the temporary helicopter use anticipated for the 500-kV construction line, the small air  
20 traffic capacity existing at this airstrip, and proper compliance of workers hearing protection, impacts  
21 would be less than significant.  
22

23 **4.11.6 References**  
24

25 California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013. *Transportation and Construction-Induced*  
26 *Vibration Guidance Manual*. September.  
27

28 City of Lake Elsinore. 1987. *Lake Elsinore Municipal Code. Chapter 17.176: Noise Control*.  
29

30 \_\_\_\_\_. 2011. *City of Lake Elsinore General Plan*. Section 3.0: Public Safety and Welfare. Adopted:  
31 December 30, 2011.  
32

33 City of Orange. 2010. *City of Orange General Plan*. Noise Element. Adopted: March 9, 2010.  
34

35 City of Corona. 2004. *City of Corona General Plan*. Chapter 6: Environmental Hazards and Public  
36 Safety. Adopted March 17, 2004.  
37

38 City of Perris. 2005. *City of Perris General Plan*. Noise Element. Adopted: August 30, 2005  
39

40 City of Menifee. 2013. *City of Menifee General Plan*. Noise Element. December.  
41

42 City of Wildomar. 2015. *City of Wildomar Draft General Plan*. January.  
43

44 County of Riverside. 2007. *Ordinance 847: Noise Control*.  
45

46 \_\_\_\_\_. 2009. *Requirements for Determining and Mitigating Non-Transportation Noise Source Impacts*  
47 *to Residential Properties*. Memorandum from the Riverside County Department of Public Health.  
48 November 23.  
49

50 \_\_\_\_\_. 2014a. *County of Riverside General Plan Chapter 7: Noise Element*. December 9, 2014.  
51

- 1 \_\_\_\_\_ . 2014b. *County of Riverside General Plan. Appendix I: Noise Element Data*. December.  
2
- 3 Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E & E). 2012. *Alberhill Project Construction and Operations Noise*  
4 *Estimation at Closest Receptors (based on FHWA data and FTA methodology)*. April.  
5
- 6 \_\_\_\_\_ . 2015a. *Valley-Ivyglen Project Noise Sensitive Receptors (based on Google Earth and ESRI*  
7 *data)*. June.  
8
- 9 \_\_\_\_\_ . 2015b. *Valley-Ivyglen Project Construction Noise Estimation at Closest Receptors (based on*  
10 *FHWA data and FTA methodology)*. June.  
11
- 12 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). 1977. *Helicopter Noise Measurements Data Report. Volume 1*  
13 *Helicopter Models: Hughes 300-C, Hughes 500-C, Bell 47-G, Bell 206-L*. Report No. FAA-RD-  
14 *77-57*. April.  
15
- 16 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2006. *FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model User's*  
17 *Guide*. Washington, DC.  
18
- 19 Federal Transit Administration (FTA). 2006. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*.  
20 *Washington, DC*.  
21
- 22 Grandin, T. 1989. "Behavioral Principles of Livestock Handling." *Professional Animal Scientist*,  
23 December 1989 pages 1-11, published by American Registry of Professional Animal Scientists  
24 Available: <http://www.grandin.com/references/new.corral.html>. Accessed: July 10, 2015.  
25
- 26 Ray, E. 2013. *Industrial Noise Series Part IX Reactive Silencers*. Universal Acoustic & Emission  
27 *Technologies*. Wisconsin. June.  
28
- 29 Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission (RCALUC). 2004. *Riverside County Airport Land Use*  
30 *Compatibility Plan*. Volume I: Countywide Policies. October 14.  
31
- 32 Southern California Edison (SCE). 2011a. *Noise Study, SCE Alberhill Substation, County of Riverside,*  
33 *California*. March 17.  
34
- 35 \_\_\_\_\_ .2011b. *Proponent's Environmental Assessment: Alberhill System Project (April 11), as amended*  
36 *by responses from SCE to CPUC requests for additional information*.  
37
- 38 \_\_\_\_\_ . 2014. *Amended Petition for Modification of Decision 10-08-009 (an August 12, 2010 decision*  
39 *by the CPUC granting SCE a Permit to Construct the Valley-Ivyglen Subtransmission Line and*  
40 *Fogarty Substation Project)*. May 23, as revised by responses from SCE to CPUC requests for  
41 *additional information*.

- 1  
2 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1978. *Protective Noise Levels*. Condensed Version of EPA  
3 Levels Document. EPA 500/9-79-100. November.  
4  
5 U.S. Forest Service (USFS). No date. Corral Canyon OHV Trails (Descanso Ranger District).  
6 <http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/cleveland/recreation/ohv/?cid=stelprdb5277155>. Accessed:  
7 December 28, 2015.  
8  
9 Waynert, D.F., J.M. Stookey, K.S. Schwartzkopf-Genwein, J.M. Watts, and C.S. Waltz. 1999. “The  
10 response of beef cattle to noise during handling.” *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. Volume 62,  
11 Issue 1, Pages 27-42, 15 February 1999.

*This page intentionally left blank.*